II. <u>MACRO- AND STRUCTURAL</u> <u>CHANGES IN THE EUROPEAN</u> <u>ECONOMY, 1290 - 1520</u>

B. MONEY AND MONETARY CHANGES IN WESTERN EUROPE, 1290 – 1520 (Part 1)

3.	25 September 2013	3	MONEY in the Late-Medieval Economy:
	Brady, ch. 5 (Munro); Cipolla, ch. 7; Davis chs.6,14		Coins, moneys-of-account, and their functions. The mechanics and economics of coinage debasements.

Money in the Medieval Economy

- Why Population (Demographic Variables) is more important than Money, in the Medieval Economy
- The Restricted Scope of the Medieval Market Economies: and why market economies have to be monetized
- The expanding scope of money and market economies from the Commercial Revolution era: from ca. 1100 CE to ca. 1320
- Functions of Money (4) in the medieval economy

The Four Functions of Money

- (1) Money as a medium of exchange: gold and silver and then copper coins (all copper: only from 1543)
- (2) Money as a standard of value: i.e., the monetary function of 'moneys of account' for recording prices, values, exchanges, wages, rents, interest payments, etc.
- (3) Money as a store of value: savings
- (4) Money as a standard of deferred payment: money as credit (or as debt instruments).
- NB: Medieval & Early Modern Europe: operated on a silver-based standard, supplemented by gold

Charlemagne (c. 800) and the medieval moneys of account 1

- Emperor Charlemagne (ca. 795-800) established what became the most widespread west European money of account: the system of pounds, shillings, and pence
- 1 pound weight (libra) of silver was divided, for accounting purposes into 20 solidi or shillings [solidus: Imperial Roman gold coin]
- Each shilling was subdivided into 12 pence, or deniers = from the Imperial Roman denarius silver coin

Medieval moneys of account 2

- pennies were long the only circulating coins
- Thus: £1 = 20s = 240d
- The system was always tied to and based on the currently circulating silver penny
- Based ancient Babylonian system of counting in units of 12 and the Celtic-Frankish system of counting in 20s [quatre-vingt = 80]

Ancient & medieval values of gold and silver

- In Roman Imperial times, gold:silver ratio was about 12:1
- 1252: re-introduction of gold coinages in the West: Genoa (genovino) and Florence (florin)
- **1284: Venice:** introduced gold ducat (= florin)
- gold:silver ratio was then also 12:1 until the early 14th century
- Today (21 Sept 2013): G-S ratio = 60.84:1



Florence, florin, 1252-1422



Genoa, genovino, 1252-1339



Venice, ducat, Giovanni Dandolo, 1285-89



Venice, zecchino, Ludovico Manin, 1789-97

English Medieval Gold Values

- Medieval England (from 1344):
- Noble was the chief gold coin = 6s 8d = 80d sterling (silver pennies) - [quarter-noble or ferlin = 20d]
- 1351 1411: Noble contained 120 Troy grains = 0.25
 Troy ounce fine gold
- Value of gold noble in terms of builders' wages:
- no. days' wage income for a master mason or master carpenter to earn 1 noble (80d): from 1365 to 1411
- at 6d. per day (12 hour day): 80d (noble)/6d. = 13.333 days (or over two weeks income: at 6 days per week)

The English Gold Noble: Edward III



Modern Day values of gold and skilled labour

- Master Carpenter: Toronto in Sept. 2013:
- \$36.91 per hour: earnings for 13.333 days @ 8 hours per day: \$3,936.97 (before taxes, but plus benefits)
- Gold today: \$ USD = 1,325.60 = \$1,363.60 CAD per Troy ounce
- 0.25 Troy ounce (gold in 14th century Noble)
- 0.25 * \$1,363.60 = \$340.90 CAD
- SO: gold today is worth far less, in terms of builders' wages, than it was in medieval England:
- **conversely, labour today** is worth far more: i.e. \$3,936.97 vs. \$340.90 CAD for 13.333 days' wages

How was the coined money supply increased in medieval Europe?

- (1) Discovering and developing new gold and/or silver mines: but most countries lacked such mines: chiefly found in Central Europe
- (2) Enjoying a 'favourable balance' in foreign trade': so that export revenues exceeded the costs of imports (goods & services) → gold inflow
- (3) By Coinage Debasements:
- to increase the number of coins of a given money of account value (£) struck from a given mint weight of silver: e.g., the English Tower Pound (12 oz), the French *marc* (8 onces):

Definitions of Debasement

- Coinage debasement: is the reduction of the precious metal content silver or gold in not just the coin itself but in the unit of the money of account
- MONEY OF ACCOUNT: the penny, the shilling, and the pound (system or reckoning prices)
- With 12d (pence) to the shilling, and 20 s (shillings) to the pound, so that 240d = £ 1

How Coinage Debasements were Effected

- (1) By a reduction in the fineness:
- i.e., in the percentage of fine silver or gold in the coin, by adding proportionately more copper, less precious metal [copper: a base metal]
- (2) By a reduction in the coin's weight
- (3) By an increase in the nominal money-ofaccount value of the coin

reserved normally only for gold coins and high value silver coins (that were not physically debased, as given above): e.g., gold noble from 6s 8d (80) to 10s 0d (120) in 1464

Debasements & Money of Account

- (1) Debasements always increased the money-of-account value of the precious metal struck
- (2) With techniques nos. 1 & 2 reductions
 in fineness & weight were often combined:
- → increased the total number of coins struck
 from mint weight (pound) → increased
 money-of-account value of lb of silver

Alterations of the English Silver Coinage: from 1259 (Henry III)

to 1526 (Henry VIII)

Date	Fineness: percentage	No. of Pence to the Tower	Weight of Penny	Weight of Penny	Grams of Pure Silver	Percentage change	Grams of Pure	Nominal Value of a Tower lb
		Pound	in Troy	in grams	in penny	in silver	Silver in the	
		349.9133 g. = 5400 Troy grains	grains 0.648 g.			contents	pound sterling (240d)	of Silver 0.925 fine in decimal £ sterling
1259	92.500%	242.00	22.314	1.446	1.337	,	320.996	1.0083
1279 Dec	92.500%	243.00	22.222	1.440	1.332	-0.41%	319.675	1.0125
1335 July *	83.333%	252.00	21.429	1.389	1.157	-13.13%	277.710	1.1655
1344 July	92.500%	266.00	20.301	1.315	1.217	5.16%	292.034	1.1083
1345 June	92.500%	268.00	20.149	1.306	1.208	-0.75%	289.854	1.1167
1346 July	92.500%	270.00	20.000	1.296	1.199	-0.74%	287.707	1.1250
1351 Jul	92.500%	300.00	18.000	1.166	1.079	-10.00%	258.937	1.2500
1412 April	92.500%	360.00	15.000	0.972	0.899	-16.67%	215.781	1.5000
1464 Aug	92.500%	450.00	12.000	0.778	0.719	-20.00%	172.624	1.8750
1465 Mar	92.500%	450.00	12.000	0.778	0.719	0.00%	172.624	1.8750
1526 Nov	92.500%	506.25	10.667	0.691	0.639	-11.11%	153.444	2.1094

Debasements: monetary or fiscal policies?

- Two questions about the political rationale for medieval debasements:
- (1) were the coinage debasements in undertaken principally as
- monetary policies: to expand money supply?
- or fiscal policies: to earn seigniorage revenues?
- (2) were they beneficial or harmful?
- And for whom were they harmful or beneficial?
- the prince and his government?
- or his subjects: the inhabitants of his lands?

Debasements as Fiscal Policies I

- Concept of the 'seigniorage tax': a burden on the public as an extra tax on real incomes
- Inflation: almost always the inevitable result,
- often the most important factors in reducing real incomes (except for some merchants)
- certainly for wage-earning labourers and artisans: nominal wages (in silver pence) not rise with prices

Debasements as Fiscal Policies II

- My thesis: that medieval debasements were either AGGRESSIVE OR DEFENSIVE (response to aggression)
- (1) Aggressive debasements (unprovoked) were primarily undertaken as fiscal policies,
- specifically to finance warfare.
- with the partial exceptions of England (to 1542) and early-modern Spain (from 1497)
- Not undertaken to remedy coinage scarcities, despite evidence for late-medieval bullion famines
- (2) Defensive debasements: were undertaken to protect the realm against GRESHAM'S LAW: protection against a neighbour's aggressive debasements

How Debasements increased a prince's mint revenues

- Objective: to increase his seigniorage revenues, by two means:
- (1) by increasing the seigniorage tax rate (tax on minting): as a proportion of the bullion brought to mint); and
- (2) by enticing an increased bullion inflow into his mints: especially influx of foreign bullion
 - by the debasement techniques themselves
 - and by auxiliary bullionist policies:
 - esp. to prevent bullion exports (but not coin exports),
 - enticing bullion influxes from abroad esp by minting counterfeits of neighbours coins → GRESHAM'S LAW

Conditions for effective medieval debasements

- (1) that merchants supplying bullion receive more coins of the same face value and thus with a greater aggregate money-of-account value than before (or than from other mints);
- (2) that the public accept such debased coins at the same face value, by tale; and
- (3) that the merchants spent their increased supply of coins quickly, before any ensuing inflation eroded those gains.
- NB: merchants: enjoyed asymmetric information about the debasement & mint price





Flemish Coinage Debasement: The Mint Ordinances of June 1418 and November 1428

Double Groot (Gros)	June 1418			November 1428			
Value in money-of-account *	2d groot [or gros Flemish]			2d groot [or gros Flemish]			
Fineness ^b in argent-le-roy (AR)	6 deniers AR	=	50.0% fine 47.92% pure	5 deniers 8 gr	ains AR = =	44.44% fine 42.59% pure	
Weight (Taille) ^c in grams Fine silver content AR in g. Pure silver content in g.	68 cut to the m	larc	3.599 gram s 1.800 g. 1.725 g.	68.5 cut to the	marc =	3.573 gram s 1.588 g. 1.522 g.	
Traite per marc ^d argent-le-roy	$\frac{68.0 \text{ x } 2\text{d.}}{6/12} = \frac{13}{0.12}$	<u>36d</u> = 5	22s 8d	$\frac{68.5 \text{ x } 2\text{d.}}{5.333/12} = \frac{13}{0}$	<u>87d</u> = .444	25s 8d 6mites	
Division of the Traite Value per marc argent-le-roy	Value in groot Flemish	Number of coins	Percentage of the traite	Value in groot Flemish	Number of coins	Percentage of the traite	
Brassage	1s 2đ	7	5.15%	1s 2d 6m	7 1/8	4.62%	
Seigniorage	4d	2	1.47%	6d 0m	3	<u>1.95</u> %	
Total Mint Charges (of the above)	ls 6d	9	6.62%	1s 8d 6m	10 1/8	6.57%	
Mint Price: for merchants' bullion	<u>21s 2d</u>	<u>127</u>	93.38%	<u>24s 0d 0m</u>	<u>144</u>	<u>93.43</u> %	
Traite per Marc argent-le-roy	22s 8d	136	100.00%	25s 8d 6m	154 1/8	100.00%	

Flemish Coinage Terms

- (1) Values in money-of-account
- 1 penny or 1 d groot = 24 mites = 12d or 1s parisis
- (2) **Fineness or silver purity**: reckoned out of 12 deniers argent-le-roy, with 24 grains per denier
- = 23/24 or 95.833% pure silver
- (3) Weight: reckoned not in terms of ounces, but in terms of the 'taille' or the number cut from the Marc de Troyes of 8 onces = 244.753 grams

The Flemish Silver Coinage Debasement of November 1428 and its Aftermath

Year	Mint Outputs in Marcs argent le roy *	Mint Outputs Kilograms of pure silver	Percentage Change	Output in £ groot Flemish	Percentage Change	Seigniorage in £ groot Flemish	Percentage Change
1428	4,598.700	1,078.647		5,267.280		123	
1429	72,460.700	16,996.010	1475.68%	93,021.380	1666.02%	2,035	1554.47%
1430	34,992.400	8,207.638	-51.71%	45,065.400	-51.55%	1,316	-35.33%
1431	5,595.200	1,312.381	-84.01%	7,240.240	-83.93%	283	-78.50%
1432	104.300	24.464	-98.14%	135.140	-98.13%	55	-80.57%

* Marc argent-le-roy = 244.7529 grams commercially fine silver, at 23/24 or 95.833% purity, with 4.167% copper.

Hammered Coinages I

- Hammered Coinages: Crudity of Medieval Minting Techniques explains successes of both debasements and counterfeiting
- Results: no two coins were exactly identical in size, shape, and weight
- Weight: defined not in fractions of an ounce but in the number (*taille*) struck from the marc/ pound
- Therefore most consumers and shopkeepers could not readily detect newly debased coins: note from Flemish debasement of 1428 how small the changes were, in both fineness and weight



FIG. 50. Operations in a mint of the early sixteenth century, from an engraving by Hans Burgkmair in the Emperor Maximilian's Weisskunig.

Hammered Coinages II

- (1) Scales and Touchstones: necessary tools to test coins: available only to money-changer bankers.
- (a) accurate scales: having to weigh many coins 50 or 100, in batches
- (b) **touchstones**: to gauge the fineness or purity of the metals (rubbing coins against the stone)
- - touchstones were accurate only to about 5%
- (2) Coins circulated by TALE: number --not by weight and fineness (except for high-valued gold coins): too costly to test coins (transaction costs)

Hammered Coinages III

- SWEATING AND CLIPPING COINS: private means of debasing coins
- Introduction of water-powered machinery in 1690s: to produce almost perfectly shaped coins, with milled edges
- allowed recipient to detect changes visually
- major factor ending debasements

Quentin Massys: The Banker and His Wife (d. Antwerp: c. 1530)



Debasements and Inflation

- Debasements were, indeed, generally inflationary, if only by increasing the money supply: no. of coins in circulation
- BUT the inflationary consequences of debasements were always less than those predicted by the mathematical formula:
- $\Delta T = [1/(1 x)] 1$
- in part, because those debasements failed to counteract the prevailing forces of monetary contraction and deflation: in the later 14th and 15th centuries (1390s to the 1480s).

Defensive Debasements: Gresham's Law

(1) to protect domestic mints from foreign competition, i.e., from aggressive coinage debasements from one's neighours

(2) to protect domestic money supplies: from influxes of debased and counterfeit imitations from neighbouring realms

i.e., to counteract Gresham's Law: that 'cheap money drives out dear money'

Gresham's Law

- (1) Elizabethan financier (ca. 1570) who popularized the so-called 'law', well known from 14th century
- (2) 'Cheap Money Drives out Dear'
- i.e., if two coins appear to have the same nominal face value (e.g. 1d), but one has less silver content than the other, therefore →
- One spends the lower-value or inferior ('cheap') coin, with the same nominal face value;
- and hoards, melts down, or exports the higher silvercontent coins (to wherever it has higher value)

Gresham's Law & Bimetallic Ratios

- (1) MINT RATIO: ratio of the official values of gold and silver (as coined) with country A
- 10:1 bimetallic ratio means that 1 ounce of coined gold has 10 times purchasing power of 1 ounce of coined silver (silver = 1/10th gold)
- (2) MARKET RATIO: 12:1, determined by:
- (a) foreign bimetallic mint ratios: gold & silver
- (b) market supply of and demand for both metals
- (c) industrial demand for two metals (jewellry)

Gresham's Law & Bimetallic Ratios

- (3) With this difference, merchants: will take
- **silver to mints in Country A**, with relatively higher price for silver
- gold to mints in Country B, offering the higher mint ratio for gold (thus lower mint ratio for silver)
- (4) Thus differing mint ratios may drive gold out of A, and so drive silver out of B

Traite of the Marc de Troyes

- Monetary unit of medieval France & Flanders: expressed in livres tournois (£) of France
- Marc de Troyes= 8 onces = 244.753 grams
- argent-le-roy: 23/24 fine silver = 95.833% pure
- Traite: money-of-account value of the total amount of coinage struck from one marc *argent le roy*
- Traite = (taille * value)/percent fineness
- taille: number of coins struck to the marc* the face value of the coin/ divided by \rightarrow
- The finenesss of the coin: in deniers and grains AR:
- - e.g. 68.0 * 2/ (6/12) = 136d = 22s 8d groot (or gros)











