# Classic Oligopoly Models: Bertrand and Cournot 

## Class 2

Note: There are supplemental readings, including Werden (2008) "Unilateral Competitive Effects of Horizontal Mergers I: Basic Concepts and Models," that complement this lecture


Ashenfelter et al (2013) "In June of 2008 the U.S. Department of Justice approved a joint venture between Miller and Coors, the second and third largest firms in the industry. Despite substantially increasing concentration in an already concentrated industry, the merger was approved by the antitrust authority partially because it was expected to reduce shipping and distribution costs." p. 2
http://www.economist.com/news/business/21665074-ab-inbev-may-combine-sabmiller-flat-market-big-beer-brands-beer-monster

## Oligopoly (a few firms) \& Game Theory

- Unlike monopoly, profit interdependency for oligopoly: a firm's profits depend on its own behavior and its rivals'; Use game theory
- Eg: Cellular telephone service in Toronto:
- Players: Rogers (Fido), Bell, Telus, Wind, Mobilicity
- Compete on price, services, and advertising
- To measure market power, add $i$ or $j$ subscript:

$$
{\text { Lerner } \text { Index }_{j}}=\frac{p_{j}-m c_{j}}{p_{j}}
$$

## Basic Elements \& Assumptions

- Noncooperative Game

Theory: Each player acts in its own self-interest and cannot credibly commit to do otherwise

- Allows collusion (cartels)
- Players rational: seek best payoffs
- ECO316H, ECO326H
- A strategic game:
- Players
- Rules: Timing of moves, available actions, info
- Assume each firm knows its profits \& rivals' profits for all outcomes
- Outcomes: Results of all possible actions combos
- Payoffs: Preferences over outcomes


## Number of Time Periods

- Static game: One period and all players move simultaneously (long run)
- Extensive game (multi-period): Multiple period game where players move sequentially and maybe more than once
- Dynamic game (repeated game): Infinite period game where players repeatedly interact over time

Two Symmetric 2 by 2
Normal Form Games: Discrete Actions

| "Cournot" | Firm 2: $q_{2}=9$ | Firm 2: $q_{2}=12$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Firm 1: $q_{1}=9$ | $(\$ 405, \$ 405)$ | $(\$ 337.50, \$ 450)$ |
| Firm 1: $q_{1}=12$ | $(\$ 450, \$ 337.50)$ | $(\$ 360, \$ 360)$ |

Each cell shows: $\left(\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}\right)$

| "Bertrand" | Firm 2: $p_{2}=\$ 20$ | Firm 2: $p_{2}=\$ 25$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Firm 1: $p_{1}=\$ 20$ | $(\$ 500, \$ 500)$ | $(\$ 625, \$ 375)$ |
| Firm 1: $p_{1}=\$ 25$ | $(\$ 375, \$ 625)$ | $(\$ 562.50, \$ 562.50)$ |

## Best Response and NE

- Best response: A strategy $s_{i}$ is a best response for firm $i$ to its rivals' strategies $s_{-i}$ if for all $s_{i}^{\prime}$ :
$\pi_{i}\left(s_{i}, s_{-i}\right) \geq \pi_{i}\left(s_{i}^{\prime}, s_{-i}\right)$
- Given the rivals' strategies, a firm could do no better than playing its best response
- Nash equilibrium (NE):

A strategy profile
$s^{*}\left(s_{1}^{*}, s_{2}^{*}, \ldots, s_{n}^{*}\right)$ is a NE if for all $s_{i}^{\prime}$ and for all players $i$ :
$\pi_{i}\left(s_{i}^{*}, s_{-i}^{*}\right) \geq \pi_{i}\left(s_{i}^{\prime}, s_{-i}^{*}\right)$

- Given the rivals' strategies, no one firm has an incentive to change its strategy


## Finding NE (if exist, may be multiple)

- For discrete actions, find NE by checking every possible combination of strategies and asking if any firm would want to change its strategy
- If no change desired for any firm, then have a NE
- Otherwise, do not have a NE
- For continuous actions, find NE by looking for intersection of best response functions
- Each firm playing a best response given the other firms' strategies: means none wish to change


## Example: Find any and all NE

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Firm } 2: \\ p_{2}=\$ 5 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Firm 2: $p_{2}=\$ 6$ | Firm 2: $p_{2}=\$ 7$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Firm 1: $p_{1}=\$ 9$ | (\$119, \$14) | (\$126, \$18) | (\$133, \$12) |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Firm 1: } \\ p_{1}=\$ 10 \end{gathered}$ | (\$120, \$15) | (\$128, \$20) | (\$136, \$15) |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Firm 1: } \\ p_{1}=\$ 11 \end{gathered}$ | (\$117, \$16) | (\$126, \$22) | (\$135, \$18) |

## Initial Oligopoly Assumptions

- Consumers are price takers
- Market demand is downward sloping
- Each firm has a single choice variable
- Homogeneous products [note: will be relaxed]
- No capacity constraints
- Market equilibrium is static NE
- No entry, exit, product repositioning, etc.


## In Class Exercise

- Teams complete a worksheet
- Market demand is P = 10-0.5Q
- Each firm sets its price to the nearest 10 cents
- E.g. $\$ 2.60$ but not $\$ 2.65$
- Marginal costs are \$1; there are no fixed costs
- Homogenous products
- Consumers buy from firm with lowest price: any higher-priced firm sells zero units
- If any firms are tied for lowest price, sales divided evenly
- Deadline: 5 minutes
- Publically reveal results


## Illustrate the Bertrand Paradox

- Bertrand duopoly, homogenous goods
- Price is continuous: any price possible
- Costs: $C_{1}\left(q_{1}\right)=c q_{1}$ and $C_{2}\left(q_{2}\right)=c q_{2}$
- What is NE in Bertrand game: $\left(p_{1}^{*}, p_{2}^{*}\right)$ ?
- Is each firm playing its best response?
- Profits at NE? Both firms better at $\left(p^{M}, p^{M}\right)$ ?
- What is the best response if rival plays $p^{M}$ ?
- If $C_{1}\left(q_{1}\right)=F_{1}+c q_{1}$ and $C_{2}\left(q_{2}\right)=F_{2}+c q_{2}$ ?
- If $C_{1}\left(q_{1}\right)=c_{1} q_{1}$ and $C_{2}\left(q_{2}\right)=c_{2} q_{2}$ if $c_{1}<c_{2}$ ?


## Escape the Paradox

- But no paradox if goods differentiated, capacity constrained, interactions repeated
- E.g. Linear differentiated goods Bertrand model
- $q_{1}=a_{1}-b_{11} p_{1}+b_{12} p_{2}$
- $q_{2}=a_{2}-b_{22} p_{2}+b_{21} p_{1}$
- Costs: $C_{1}\left(q_{1}\right)=c_{1} q_{1}$ and $C_{2}\left(q_{2}\right)=c_{2} q_{2}$
- Why allow for asymmetric marginal costs?
- Before working with the linear model analytically and graphically, consider a general model

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi_{j}\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{N}\right)=p_{j} q_{j}\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{N}\right)-C_{j}\left(q_{j}\right) \\
& \frac{\partial \pi_{j}}{\partial p_{j}}=q_{j}\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{N}\right)+\left(p_{j}-m c_{j}\right) \frac{\partial q_{j}\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{N}\right)}{\partial p_{j}} \stackrel{\text { set }}{=} 0 \\
& \left(p_{j}-m c_{j}\right) \frac{\partial q_{j}}{\partial p_{j}}=-q_{j} \\
& \frac{p_{j}-m c_{j}}{p_{j}}=-\frac{1}{\frac{\partial q_{j} p_{j}}{\partial p_{j}} \frac{p_{j}}{q_{j}}} \quad \begin{array}{ll}
\text { What is name of LHS? } \\
\frac{p_{j}-m c_{j}}{p_{j}}=-\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{j}} & \begin{array}{l}
\text { Irm ival firms's price? }
\end{array} \\
\text { In general, is } \varepsilon_{j} \text { constant? If } \\
\text { not, what could affect it? }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

Find Bertrand NE: $\left(p_{1}^{*}, \ldots, p_{N}^{*}\right)$

- Start with Firm $i$ 's profit function:

$$
\pi_{i}\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{N}\right)=T R_{i}\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{N}\right)-T C_{i}\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{N}\right)
$$

- Take partial derivative of $\pi_{i}\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{N}\right)$ wrt choice variable $p_{i}$ and set equal to 0
- Solve for $p_{i}$ : Firm $i$ 's best response function
- Repeat for other firms (or use symmetry)
- Find intersection of all best response functions, which is the NE


## Firm 1's Best Response Function, Linear Bertrand Duopoly Model

$\pi_{1}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)=T R_{1}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)-T C_{1}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)$
$\pi_{1}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)=p_{1} q_{1}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)-c_{1} q_{1}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)$
$\pi_{1}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)=\left(p_{1}-c_{1}\right)\left(a_{1}-b_{11} p_{1}+b_{12} p_{2}\right)$
$\frac{\partial \pi_{1}}{\partial p_{1}}=a_{1}-b_{11} p_{1}+b_{12} p_{2}-b_{11}\left(p_{1}-c_{1}\right) \stackrel{\text { set }}{=} 0$
$a_{1}-2 b_{11} p_{1}+b_{12} p_{2}+b_{11} c_{1}=0$
$p_{1}=\frac{a_{1}+b_{11} c_{1}+b_{12} p_{2}}{2 b_{11}}$
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Firm 2's Best Response Function

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi_{2}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)=T R_{2}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)-T C_{2}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right) \\
& \pi_{2}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)=p_{2} q_{2}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)-c_{2} q_{2}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right) \\
& \pi_{2}\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)=\left(p_{2}-c_{2}\right)\left(a_{2}-b_{22} p_{2}+b_{21} p_{1}\right) \\
& \frac{\partial \pi_{2}}{\partial p_{2}}=a_{2}-b_{22} p_{2}+b_{21} p_{1}-b_{22}\left(p_{2}-c_{2}\right) \stackrel{\text { set }}{=} 0 \\
& a_{2}-2 b_{22} p_{2}+b_{21} p_{1}+b_{22} c_{2}=0 \\
& p_{2}=\frac{a_{2}+b_{22} c_{2}+b_{21} p_{1}}{2 b_{22}}
\end{aligned}
$$



19

## Classic Cournot Model

- Firms choose quantity (continuous, non-neg.)
- Use initial oligopoly assumptions
- Including homogeneous goods: $Q=\sum_{j=1}^{N} q_{j}$
- $\pi_{i}\left(q_{1}, \ldots, q_{N}\right)=P(Q) q_{i}-C_{i}\left(q_{i}\right)$
- First solve general case, then explore linear

Cournot model:

- Market demand: $P=a-b Q$
- Costs: $C_{1}\left(q_{1}\right)=c_{1} q_{1}$ and $C_{2}\left(q_{2}\right)=c_{2} q_{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi_{j}\left(q_{1}, \ldots, q_{N}\right)=P(Q) q_{j}-C_{j}\left(q_{j}\right) \\
& \frac{\partial \pi_{j}}{\partial q_{j}}=P(Q)+\frac{\partial P(Q)}{\partial Q} q_{j}-m c_{j} \stackrel{\text { set }}{=} 0 \\
& P(Q)-m c_{j}=-\frac{\partial P(Q)}{\partial Q} q_{j} \\
& \frac{P(Q)-m c_{j}}{P(Q)}=-\frac{1}{\frac{\partial Q}{\partial P(Q)} \frac{P(Q)}{Q} \frac{q_{j}}{Q}} \quad \begin{array}{l}
\text { If symmetric }\left(m c_{j}=c\right. \\
\text { for all } j) \text { then obtain: }
\end{array} \\
& \frac{P(Q)-m c_{j}}{P(Q)}=-\frac{s_{j}}{\varepsilon}
\end{aligned} \begin{aligned}
& \frac{P(Q)-c}{P(Q)}=-\frac{1}{N \varepsilon}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Find Cournot NE: $\left(q_{1}^{*}, \ldots, q_{N}^{*}\right)$

- Firm $i$ 's profit function:

$$
\pi_{i}\left(q_{1}, \ldots, q_{N}\right)=P(Q) q_{i}-C_{i}\left(q_{i}\right)
$$

- Take partial derivative of $\pi_{i}\left(q_{1}, \ldots, q_{N}\right)$ wrt choice variable $q_{i}$ and set equal to 0
- Solve for $q_{i}$ : Firm $i$ 's best response function
- Repeat for other firms (or use symmetry)
- Find intersection of all best response functions, which is the NE


## Linear Cournot Duopoly Model

Firm 1's best response function: Firm 2's best response function:
$\pi_{1}\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right)=P(Q) q_{1}-C_{1}\left(q_{1}\right)$
$\pi_{2}\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right)=P(Q) q_{2}-C_{2}\left(q_{2}\right)$
$\pi_{1}=\left(a-b Q-c_{1}\right) q_{1}$
$\pi_{2}=\left(a-b Q-c_{2}\right) q_{2}$
$\pi_{1}=\left(a-b q_{1}-b q_{2}-c_{1}\right) q_{1} \quad \pi_{2}=\left(a-b q_{1}-b q_{2}-c_{2}\right) q_{2}$
$\frac{\partial \pi_{1}}{\partial q_{1}}=a-b q_{1}-b q_{2}-c_{1}-b q_{1} \frac{\partial \pi_{2}}{\partial q_{2}}=a-b q_{1}-b q_{2}-c_{2}-b q_{2}$
$a-2 b q_{1}-b q_{2}-c_{1} \stackrel{\text { set }}{=} 0$
$a-2 b q_{2}-b q_{1}-c_{2} \stackrel{\text { set }}{=} 0$
$q_{1}=\frac{a-c_{1}-b q_{2}}{2 b} \quad q_{2}=\frac{a-c_{2}-b q_{1}}{2 b}$


## Solve for NE Algebraically

$q_{1}=\frac{a-c_{1}-b q_{2}}{2 b} \quad q_{2}=\frac{a-c_{2}-b q_{1}}{2 b}$
$q_{1}=\frac{a-c_{1}-b \frac{a-c_{2}-b q_{1}}{2 b}}{2 b}$
$2 b q_{1}=\frac{a}{2}-c_{1}+\frac{c_{2}}{2}+\frac{b}{2} q_{1}$
$4 b q_{1}=a-2 c_{1}+c_{2}+b q_{1}$
$q_{1}^{*}=\frac{a-2 c_{1}+c_{2}}{3 b}$
$q_{2}^{*}=\frac{a-2 c_{2}+c_{1}}{3 b}$
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Symmetric Cournot Duopoly: A Special Case

$$
q_{2} \uparrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}
q_{1}=\frac{a-c_{1}-b q_{2}}{2 b} \\
q^{*}=\frac{a-c-b q^{*}}{2 b} \\
q_{1}=\frac{a-c-b q_{2}}{2 b} \\
2 b q^{*}=a-c-b q^{*} \\
q^{*}=\frac{a-c}{3 b}
\end{array}\right.
$$
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## Linear Demand: Comparing Outcomes

- $P=a-b Q$
- Symmetric ( $c_{1}=c_{2}=$ c) Cournot market output, $Q=q_{1}+q_{2}$, is between that of a perfectly competitive market and a monopoly

| Market <br> Structure | Market <br> Output (Q) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Perfect <br> Competition | $\frac{a-c}{b}$ |
| Cournot <br> Duopoly | $\frac{2}{3} \frac{a-c}{b}$ |
| Monopoly | $\frac{1}{2} \frac{a-c}{b}$ |
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## Welfare, Efficiency of Symmetric Cournot Duopoly

$$
\begin{array}{r}
Q^{*}=\frac{2}{3} \frac{a-c}{b} \quad P^{*}=\frac{a+2 c}{3} \quad \pi_{1}=\pi_{2}=\frac{(a-c)^{2}}{9 b} \\
P S=\frac{2(a-c)^{2}}{9 b} \quad C S=\frac{2(a-c)^{2}}{9 b} \\
\hline \frac{a}{b} Q S=\frac{4(a-c)^{2}}{9 b}
\end{array}
$$

## Three Firms in Cournot Industry

$\pi_{1}\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}\right)=P(Q) q_{1}-C_{1}\left(q_{1}\right)$
$\pi_{1}=\left(a-b Q-c_{1}\right) q_{1}$
$\pi_{1}=\left(a-b q_{1}-b q_{2}-b q_{3}-c_{1}\right) q_{1}$
$\begin{array}{ll}\frac{\partial \pi_{1}}{\partial q_{1}}=a-b q_{1}-b q_{2}-b q_{3}-c_{1}-b q_{1} & \text { However, if symmetric: } \\ q^{*}=\frac{a-c-b q^{*}-b q^{*}}{2 b}\end{array}$
$a-2 b q_{1}-b q_{2}-b q_{3}-c_{1} \stackrel{\text { set }}{=} 0$
$q^{*}=\frac{a-c}{4 b}$
$q_{1}=\frac{a-c_{1}-b q_{2}-b q_{3}}{2 b}$
$Q^{*}=\frac{3}{4} \frac{a-c}{b}$

## Bertrand versus Cournot

- Bertrand: Firms choose price
- Output (capacity) more flexible than price
- Strategic variable is price
- Output adjusted to clear the market: meet demand at chosen price
- E.g. software, streaming movies (Netflix)
- Cournot: Firms choose quantity
- Price more flexible than output (capacity)
- Strategic variable is quantity
- Price adjusted to clear the market
- E.g. commodities (milk, wheat, chemicals, steel, etc.)


## Slope of Best Response

- Strategic substitutes: Best response functions have negative slope
- Cournot, linear demand, constant mc
- Strategic complements: Best response
functions have positive slope
- Bertrand, linear demand (differentiated goods), constant mc
- Implications for merger analysis


## Looking Ahead

- Workshop 1, Tuesday, 11:10-1:00, this room
- Bring a laptop with Excel
- Download the merger simulation spreadsheets from our course site ahead of time
- http://homes.chass.utoronto.ca/~murdockj/eco410/
- Interactive parts and there are also slides posted
- Also, Assignment \#1 given on Tuesday

