

Department of Economics (St. George) ECO 404: Topics in Managerial Economics Ajaz Hussain

Course Description

Students will engage in <u>Socratic method</u> style discussions to analyze and present the following 'quantitative' managerialeconomics cases:

- The connection between an airline's cost/passenger/mile and its turnaround times and network structure;
- Managing price erosion at a company;
- Valuing assets in an `over-heated market';
- Hedging against price volatility of a commodity for which no derivatives products currently exist;
- Analyzing the (in)effectiveness of marketing campaigns;
- Attributing mutual fund manager performance to 'pedigree vs. grit';
- Accounting frequent flier programs;
- Valuing a Leveraged Buyout (LBO);
- Bidding strategies on a complex auction of a Copper-Zinc mine.

Highly recommended preparation: ECO 374/375

Prerequisites:

All students must meet the pre-requisites listed at https://fas.calendar.utoronto.ca/course/ECO404H1:

ECO 200 (<u>minimum grade of 75%</u>)/ECO 204/ECO 206; ECO 220 /ECO 227/STA 250, STA 255/STA 257, STA 261; At least one FCE in ECO at the 300 level or higher. Please note that the Economics Department checks prerequisites requirements manually and will ultimately eject students who do not meet prerequisites (i.e. being able to register for this course on ACORN doesn't mean that you have satisfied all prerequisites).

	Lectur	es	
Lecture Section Day Time Lecture Location			
Section L0101	Wednesdays	5 – 8 pm	TC 24

INSTRUCTOR			
Name:	" <u>Ajaz</u> " Hussain		
E-mail:	Sayed.hussain@utoronto.ca		
Office:	GE 212		
"Physical" Office Hours:	By appointment: send e-mail to <u>Sayed.hussain@utoronto.ca</u>		

	Course Plan				
Lecture	Agenda				
Ι	Introduction				
2	Excel-Stat-tools lesson				
	Two cases chosen at random for discussion next week				
3	Each student submits a 2-page memo summarizing the salient issues in the two cases (I page per case)				
	All students discuss the two cases				
	Four groups chosen at random and assigned one of the two cases to be presented next week				
4	The four groups present their assigned case (prior to class, each group must upload the slides and				
	Excel file at "Presentation I" tabs in ECO 404's Blackboard page).				
	Four students chosen at random to write "paper I assignment" (due next lecture through Blackboard)				
	Two cases chosen at random for discussion next week				
5	Each student submits a 2-page memo summarizing the salient issues in the two cases (I page per case)				
	All students discuss the two cases				
	Four groups chosen at random and assigned one of the two cases to be presented next week				
6	The four groups present their assigned case (prior to class, each group must upload the slides and				
	Excel file at "Presentation I" tabs in ECO 404's Blackboard page).				
	Four students chosen at random to write "paper I assignment" (due next lecture through Blackboard)				
	Two cases chosen at random for discussion next week				
7	Each student submits a 2-page memo summarizing the salient issues in the two cases (I page per case)				
	All students discuss the two cases				
	Four groups chosen at random and assigned one of the two cases to be presented next week				
8	The four groups present their assigned case (prior to class, each group must upload the slides and				
	Excel file at "Presentation I (or 2)" tabs in ECO 404's Blackboard page).				
	Four students chosen at random to write "paper I (or 2) assignment" (due next lecture through				
	Blackboard)				
9	Two cases chosen at random for discussion next week				
9	Each student submits a 2-page memo summarizing the salient issues in the two cases (I page per case) All students discuss the two cases				
10	Four groups chosen at random and assigned one of the two cases to be presented next week				
10	The four groups present their assigned case (prior to class, each group must upload the slides and Excel file at "Presentation 2" tabs in ECO 404's Blackboard page).				
	Four students chosen at random to write "paper 2 assignment" (due next lecture through Blackboard)				
	Two cases chosen at random for discussion next week				
11	Each student submits a 2-page memo summarizing the salient issues in the two cases (1 page per case) All students discuss the two cases				
	Four groups chosen at random and assigned one of the two cases to be presented next week				
12	The four groups present their assigned case (prior to class, each group must upload the slides and				
14	Excel file at "Presentation 2" tabs in ECO 404's Blackboard page).				
	Four students chosen at random to write "paper 2 assignment" (due one week later through				
	Blackboard)				
Aftor	the class, the very poor instructor cordially invites students to join him for drinks. Location TBA.				
Alter	the class, the very poor instructor condainy invites students to join min for drinks. Location TDA.				

Course Evaluation Please be sure to read the "notes" below			
Component	Component Weight "When"		
Four sessions of in-class discussions (◊)	12%	Except for the first two lectures and the two lectures in which you're making group presentations.	During class

			Except for the first two lectures	Submit hard copy to the
Four 2-page memos ($\Diamond\Diamond$)		8%	and the two lectures in which	instructor at the beginning of each
			you're making group presentations	class
Group Presentation I ($\Diamond \Diamond \Diamond$)		20%	During the first half of the course	In-class Please upload slides and Excel file at "Group Presentation I" Tabs in ECO 404 Blackboard
	Individual assignment 1: 5-page paper and Excel file (Δ)		During the first half of the course	Please upload paper and Excel file at "Paper I" Tabs on the ECO 404 Blackboard site.
Group Presentation 2 ($\Diamond\Diamond\Diamond$)		30%	During the second half of the course	In-class Please upload slides and Excel file at "Group Presentation 2" Tabs in ECO 404 Blackboard
	<u>Individual assignment 2:</u> 10-page paper and Excel file (Δ)		During the second half of the course	Please upload paper and Excel file at "Paper 2" Tabs on the ECO 404 Blackboard site.
			Notes:	
\$	read the assigned case follows: 0% of course grade = active particip Penalty for missing cla class discussions unles "medical reasons" the reason (by e-mailing the reasons" then, within an <u>original University</u> were too ill to <u>attend</u> physician's OHIP num sub-optimally" are not you will be permitted during the missed class	es prior to t grade = no pation; 3% of ss and then s you prove n, within 7 he instruct 72 hours of of Toronto the ECO of ber. Please t acceptabl to "make of s (10 pages	. ,	h" classes, you will be graded as inimal participation; 2% of course participation. ions: you will get 0 points for in- class. If you missed the class due to class, you must provide a <i>valid</i> u missed the class due to "medical u must provide the instructor with r emailed notes) stating that you ne medical note <i>must</i> list the "the student would have performed atisfied with your explanation, then ge paper on the two cases discussed
00	read the assigned case cases (I page per case four classes in which y grade (no memo subn	es prior to t). Submit a you are par hitted) or 1 ss and the	and the two lectures in which you are the class and write a 2-page memo sum a hard copy of the memo to the instruc- tricipating in class discussions. Each me 1% = 1 page memo submitted or 2% = refore not submitting the memo: same ss discussions.	marizing the main issues in the two ctor at the beginning of each of the mo will get either 0% of the course 2-page memo submitted.
000	Each student will make two group presentations – one in the first half of the course and the other in the second half of the course. Each student will be assigned to a group at the start of the course and mid-wa through the course (i.e. each half of the course has different groups).			ne start of the course and mid-way
	Group presentations must be at least 20 minutes and at most 30 minutes. There will be a 30 to 45-n "aggressive Q&A" session after all groups have presented. Prior to the presentations, each group mu upload their slides and Excel file (one submission per group) at the "Presentations I (or 2) Tabs" on 404's Blackboard. Please name all files as "Group_Name_Presentation_I (or 2)" and list the names of			

group members on the title slide/worksheet.

Here is a recommended (loose) template for presentations:

- Introduction and opening remarks ("statement of the central issue(s)")
- Agenda
- Overview & Background
- [If applicable] Data description with summary stats, graphs, and charts
- Analysis (please list regressions in a single table and report t-stats and/or p-values). Here is an <u>excellent example</u>.
- Recommendations/conclusion
- Backup slides and models (you should be able to bring these up in real time)
- You cannot "go outside" the case; i.e. stick to the facts and data in the case.

Here are two "old" examples of "professional" presentations: <u>SH&E (Airline Consulting Firm) Presentation</u> and <u>Goldman Sachs presentation to Brown University Corporate Finance 2nd year Undergrad students</u>.

Penalty for being absent when it's your turn to present: you will get 0 points on the presentation unless you provide a *valid* reason for why you missed the presentation. If you missed the presentation due to "medical reasons" then, within 72 hours of the start time of the missed presentation, you must provide the instructor with an <u>original University of Toronto medical certificate</u> (no photocopies or emailed notes) stating that you were too ill to <u>present the ECO 404 case on that date and time</u> (sic). The medical note *must* list the physician's OHIP number. Please note that "illness before the presentation" or "the student would have performed sub-optimally" are not acceptable medical reasons. Provided that the instructor is satisfied with your explanation, you will be permitted to "make up" the missed presentation by writing a 20-page paper on the case that you were supposed to present *and* meet the instructor for a one-hour oral-exam on that case (80% of the presentation score will be based on the results of the oral test and the remaining 20% will be based on the paper).

	Excellent	Group Presentation Ru Good	Fair	Problematic
Score:	3	2	1	0
Case Analysis: Arguments, Evidence, Understanding	Clearly identifies salient issue[s] in case. Effective and forceful arguments based on solid economic and (if applicable) econometric analysis. Demonstrates sound understanding of issues and economic/econometric concepts. Clear recommendations and/or findings.	Adequate identification of salient issue[s] in case. Somewhat effective arguments based on adequate use of economic and (if applicable) econometric analysis. Demonstrates adequate understanding of issues and economic/econometric concepts. Adequate recommendations and/or findings.	Inadequate identification of salient issue[s] in case. Poor and/or invalid arguments based on sparse use of economic and (if applicable) econometric analysis. Demonstrates inadequate and/or confused understanding of issues and economic/econometric concepts. Inadequate recommendations and/or findings	Misidentifies salient issue[s] in case. Incorrect arguments which are not based o economic and (if applicable) econometri analysis. Demonstrate little to no understanding of issue and economic/econometri concepts. Lacks recommendations and/or findings
Organization & Flow: Clarity, Conciseness, Structure, Flow, Grammar, Interest to Audience	Presentation has excellent structure and flow. Slides are properly formatted and titled, and effectively and succinctly convey information and/or arguments. Data and econometric analysis (if applicable) presented clearly and effectively. Clear, effective tables,	Presentation has less than stellar structure and flow. Some issues with formatting and titles. Slides inadequately convey information and/or arguments. Inadequate presentation of data and econometric analysis (if applicable). Ineffective use of tables,	Presentation has poor structure and flow. Major issues with formatting and titles. Slides fail to adequately convey information and/or arguments. Data and econometric analysis (if applicable) shoddily presented. Poorly organized tables, graphs,	Presentation lacks structure and flow. Lo of major issues with formatting and titles. Slides do not convey information and/or argument. Data and econometric analysis (any) poorly or not presented. Poor, ineffective, use of table

		Excellent backup slides for the Q&A session effectively demonstrating "behind the scenes" analysis. Minimal (if any) errors.	Backup slides inadequate for Q&A session and ineffectively demonstrating "behind the scenes" analysis. A few minor errors.	for Q&A session and for demonstrating "behind the scenes" analysis.	backup slides for demonstrating "behind the scenes" analysis. Many major errors.
		- 8			
			Paper Rubric	-	
Δ		Excellent 3	-	-	Problematic 0
Δ	Score: Economic Argument, Concepts & Evidence		Paper Rubric	-	-
Δ	Score: Economic Argument, Concepts &	Excellent 3 Clearly stated argument & concepts. Economic reasoning is sound and indicates thorough understanding of concepts discussed in	Paper Rubric Good 2 Fairly clear and convincing argument. Adequate use of economic concepts. Demonstrates understanding of topics discussed in	Fair I Argument is confusing or contradictory. Weak definition/application of economic concepts. Demonstrates some understanding of topics	0 No clear argument. Confused or no use of economic concepts. Poor quality and little if any displayed evidence of understanding of

Required Course Materials				
ECO 404 HBS Case Pack				
Available for purchase at http://cb.hbsp.harvard.edu/cbmp/access/69007322. Please register as a student, pay by credit				
card, and download all files to your computer.				
Excel				
Excel 2007 (or later versions) running on PCs (not Apples) with Windows operating systems				
Please install "Solver add-in", "Data Analysis add-in", "FRED Excel Add-in", "Monte-Carlo Simulations Add-in"				
Ajaz's Excel Lessons on <u>YouTube</u> (apologies for less than stellar quality and the soporific tone).				
Stat tools (incompatible with Apple machines)				
Available for \$50 at <u>http://www.palisade.com/</u>				
Notes				
Ajaz's Note on the Tupelo Model.				
<u>Aj</u> az's Note on Omitted Variable Bi <u>as</u> .				
Ajaz's Note on Brownian Motion				
Real Options Monte Carlo simulation Excel model for Antamina Case				

Academic Integrity:

Academic integrity is one of the cornerstones of the University of Toronto. It is critically important both to maintain our community which honors the values of honesty, trust, respect, fairness and responsibility and to protect you, the students within this community, and the value of the degree towards which you are all working so diligently. According to Section B of the University of Toronto's <u>Code of Behavior on Academic Matters</u> which all students are expected to know and respect, it is an offense for students: to obtain unauthorized assistance on any assignment; showing another student completed work (e.g., an answer in a test); to falsify or alter any documentation required by the University. This, includes, but is not limited to, doctor's notes; to use or possess an unauthorized aid in any test or exam; to continue writing the exam after being instructed to stop writing. There are other offenses covered under the <u>Code</u> but these are by far the most common. Please respect these rules and the values which they protect.

Cases

Southwest Airlines: In a Different World

The focus of the case is on whether Southwest Airlines should buy gates and slots to initiate service to New York's LaGuardia airport, which does not fit the airline's profile for cost, ease of service, and other factors. The bigger issue is how the organization should deal with competition that has successfully emulated more and more of what it does in an operating environment that has changed significantly. Hence the subtitle, which was suggested by Herb Kelleher, Southwest's Chairman and CEO, Emeritus

Milk and Money and Note on Basic Option Properties (Data set in the course packet)

Note on Basic Option Properties:

Options are contracts that give the right, but not the obligation, to either buy or sell a specific underlying security for a specified price on or before a specific date. Explains the basis of options, covering fundamentals such as option terminology, the payoff schemes of options, parameters that influence their value, the put-call parity, and the upper and lower bounds of options prices. Presents problems for students to solve.

Milk and Money:

The financial success of dairy farms depends critically on the price of their main output, milk. Large volatility in the price of milk poses a considerable business risk to dairy farms. This is particularly true for family-run dairy farms. The question then arises: how can a farm owner hedge the milk price risk? The standard approach to establish a price floor for a commodity such as milk is to purchase put options on commodity futures. At the Chicago Mercantile Exchange, farmers

can buy put options on the price of a variety of milk products. However, the price a farm receives for its milk depends on many factors and is unique to the farm. Thus, a farmer cannot directly buy put options on the price he receives for the milk his farm produces. Instead the farmer needs to determine which of the options available for trade at the Chicago Mercantile Exchange offer the best hedge for his own milk price. Data supplement in case packet.

Compass Maritime Services, LLC: Valuing Ships (Data set in the course packet)

Tom Roberts, a founding partner of Compass Maritime Services, a New Jersey-based shipping research and consulting firm, has been asked by a new potential customer in May 2008 for advice on purchasing a capesize bulk carrier. After identifying a suitable ship with his colleague Basil Karatzas, they must determine an appropriate offer price for the ship and justify their recommendations. Data supplement in case packet.

Tupelo Medical: Managing Price Erosion (Data set in the course packet)

Robert Davidson, pricing manager for Tupelo Medical, was concerned about the variability in price paid for its top-selling product, the Micron 8 Series blood pressure monitoring system. Using historical transaction data, Davidson must determine the appropriate price floor. Setting a price too high risked the loss of a large number of customers, putting the company at substantial risk due to the importance of the product. Setting a price too low would impact Davidson's ability to meet the stated objective of increasing margins by 3 percent. He wondered what the optimal price floor would be and what the expected profits would be for that new price floor. Additionally, the company's business varied considerably by geographic region, account size and account type. As a result, he needed to consider whether it made sense to set a single price floor or whether he could improve profits by allowing some variability in the price floor by customer segment. Data supplement in case packet. Review the note: <u>Tupelo Model</u>.

Fueling Sales at EuroPet (Data set in the course packet)

EuroPet S.A. was a multinational company operating gas stations in many European countries. There was a growing propensity for supermarkets to attach gas stations to their retail operations, which was developing into a major threat to EuroPet. As a result, in the mid-1990s, the company began to develop and brand its own convenience stores co-located with its gas stations. However, the company was spending much more on advertising the convenience stores than its competitors did. Management now had to decide if the increase in sales attributed to advertising. Review: <u>Ajaz's Note on Omitted Variable Bias</u>.

Pedigree vs. Grit: Predicting Mutual Fund Manager Performance (Data set in the course packet)

An asset management company must replace the manager of its two signature mutual funds, who is about to retire. Two candidates have been short-listed. The management team is divided and cannot decide which of the two candidates would make the better mutual fund manager. The retiring manager presents a linear regression model to examine success factors of mutual fund managers. This linear regression is the starting point for the subsequent analysis. Review: Ajaz's Note on Omitted Variable Bias. Optional: Hedge Funds Performance and Personalities.

Bidding for Antamina, Real Options Monte Carlo Simulation Properties (Data set in the course packet)

In June 1996, executives of the multinational mining company RTZ-CRA contemplate bidding to acquire the Antamina copper and zinc mine in Peru. The Antamina project is being offered for sale by auction as part of the privatization of Peru's state mining company. RTZ-CRA has to determine what the mine is worth and decide whether and how it should bid in the upcoming auction. The bidding rules put in place by the Peruvian government dictate that each company's bid contain two components: an up-front cash amount and an amount the bidder will invest to develop the property if development is warranted after further exploration is completed. See: <u>Real Options Monte Carlo simulation Excel model</u> and Ajaz's Note on Brownian Motion. Optional readings: <u>Introduction to Convenience Yields, Stochastic Convenience Yields and Pricing of Oil Contingent Claims</u>. Brennan and Schwarz, "<u>Evaluating Natural Resource Investments</u>", <u>Energy Price Processes</u>, <u>Asset Pricing and Commodities</u>.

Accounting for Frequent Fliers

Airline frequent flier programs offer members the opportunity to earn free flights by accumulating mileage. Accounting and reporting the obligations of airlines and the cost of frequent flier programs raises difficult measurement issues. In 1991, the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission began to require airlines to disclose the number of free flights program members took. The case allows estimates of the cost and obligations of the United Air Lines program.

Using APV for Better Decisions *and* Valuation of Airthread Connections Properties (Data set in the course packet)

Using APV for Better Decisions: For the past 25 years, managers have been taught that the best practice for valuing assets-that is, an existing business, factory, product line, or market position--is to use a discounted-cash-flow (DCF) methodology. That is still true. But the particular version of DCF that has been accepted as the standard--using the weighted-average cost of capital (WACC)--is now obsolete. Today's better alternative, adjusted present value (APV), is especially versatile and reliable. It will likely replace WACC as the DCF methodology of choice among generalists. Like WACC, APV is used to value operations, or assets-in-place. Timothy Luehrman explains APV and walks readers through a case example designed to teach them how to use it.

Valuation of Airthread Connections: A senior associate in the business development group at American Cable Communications, one of the largest cable companies in the U.S., must prepare a preliminary valuation for acquiring AirThread Connections, a regional cellular provider. The acquisition would give American Cable access to wireless technology and the wireless spectrum and enable the company to offer competitive service bundles including wireless, currently a hole in the company's service offering. Students learn the basic valuation concepts including DCF (discounted cash flow) using APV (adjusted present value) and WACC (weighted average cost of capital) and they must choose the appropriate approach for situations in which the capital structure is changing or assumed to be constant. Students must consider the effect of constant debt versus the D/V (debt-to-value ratio) in estimating betas and the costs of capital. In addition, students analyze the effects of non-operating assets on valuation. As an additional assignment, instructors can require students to consider the personal tax disadvantage of debt as well as the synergies American Cable expects to achieve following the acquisition.