### ECO 403 – L0301 Developmental Macroeconomics

### Lecture 8 Balance-of-Payment Crises

### The Capitalist Economic System

- *Capitalism* is basically an *unstable* economic system
  - Disequilibrium is the norm, and equilibrium is the exception
- Keynesian theory helped in the development of better economic policies to stabilize economic cycles
  - After the Great Depression, *institutions* and *regulatory systems* were created to reduce effects of *crises*
- Capitalists are motivated by the possibility of making profits, and profits are expressed in sums of money
  - Therefore, a *capitalist* economy is a *monetary* economy since it cannot work without money
  - > This was the view of *Keynes* as well as of *Marx* before him

#### Finances, Uncertainty, and Crises

- Main difference between *Keynesian* and *neoclassical* economics is the importance attached to *uncertainty*
- Following Keynes, *Minsky* developed the theoretical connection between *finances*, *uncertainty*, and *crises* 
  - Before him, literature on business cycles tended to focus on the *real production side*
  - > Minsky identified *financial fragility* as the agent of *crises*
- Growing *instability* of financial system due to increasing *autonomy* of credit and financial instruments from real economy
  - Minsky showed that *financial crises* are *endogenous* to the capitalist system

### Indebtedness and Financial Fragility

- Capitalist economies go through *boom-bust cycles* and *financial crises* are a particular moment of these cycles
- Indebtedness and financial fragility tends to worsen in the boom phase of the cycle

Credit conditions also tend to be relaxed during booms

- Over the course of an expansion, debtors go from a "hedge" to a "speculative" and to a "Ponzi" condition
  - Hedge condition: Full liquidity and solvency are preserved
  - Speculative condition: Liquidity is compromised (only interest can be paid)
  - Ponzi condition: Both liquidity and solvency are compromised (not even interest can be paid)

### **Endogenous Financial Crises**

- Post-war government *regulation* reduced financial *instability* 
  - But *regulations* are continuously subvert by *profit-seeking* financial institutions
  - Regulatory system should thus continuously *adapt* to private sector's *innovations* (Minsky)
  - But the system has instead gone through a process of deregulation since the 1980s
- Unregulated financial markets tend endogenously to move towards the Ponzi condition
- For Minsky, financial *crises* are essentially the result of excessive *indebtedness* 
  - But they may also derive from a *currency* mismatch

#### Endogenous Financial Crises (cont'd)

- For developing countries, major *financial* crises do not arise from *banking* crises but from *balance-of-payment* crises
- Balance-of-payment crises are confined to developing countries because they become *indebted* in *foreign* currency
- Orthodox economists argue that currency crises occur only in countries with a *fixed* exchange rate

But investors' confidence does not end gradually, but rather in a sudden way

- In the expansion phase, governments and firms become indebted through the formation of a credit bubble
  - > A **Ponzi** condition eventually arises
  - When bubble burst, credit is suddenly suspended

### Endogenous Financial Crises (cont'd)

- Balance-of-payment crises are due to current account deficits and accumulation of foreign debt
  - > Investors lose *confidence* and credit is suspended
- Liquidity constraint is related to the country's short-term ability to honour its current obligations
  This is associated with the snaculative condition
  - This is associated with the *speculative* condition
- Solvency constraint is related to the country's long-term ability to honour its obligations
  - This is a *structural* problem associated with a *Ponzi* condition
- Failure to attend either of these *constraints* will lead to *financial* crisis

## Neoclassical Explanation of Balance-of-Payment Crises

- Three generations of *neoclassical* models of *balance-of-payment* (or *currency*) crises
  - First generation: Crises due to the central bank setting a non-equilibrium value for the exchange rate
  - Second generation: Crises cannot be foreseen by simply examining macroeconomic indicators
  - > Third generation: Crises due to excessive financing
- These models fail to identify the main *cause* of *currency* crises: The *growth* cum *foreign savings* policy

This policy causes the *currency* to appreciate and the *foreign debt to GDP* ratio to rise

## Neoclassical Explanation of Financial Crises

- Financial crises are believed to originate in banking, not in balance of payments
  - Different in developing countries because foreign *debt* is in *foreign currency*
- Banking and balance-of-payment crises may happen together
  - Domestic firms get indebted in *foreign currency* with local *banks* acting as *intermediaries*
  - When balance-of-payment crisis breaks, banking crisis breaks simultaneously
    - Firms cannot *repay* banks and banks cannot *rollover* their debts due to suspension of *international credit*

## Neoclassical Explanation of Financial Crises (cont'd)

- Private agents are assumed "rational" and thus unable to endanger the economy
  - > But the *public sector* cannot be assumed to be *rational*
- Neoclassical theory considers excessive *current account deficits* to be the consequence of *budget deficits* 
  - Optimal *intertemporal* decisions on *savings* and *investment* ensure an equally *optimal balance* in the *current account*
  - > Therefore, the *culprit* here is the *government*
- According to this view, current account deficits should not be a matter of concern while budget deficits should

## Neoclassical Explanation of Financial Crises (cont'd)

- But it cannot be assumed that the *private sector* is always in equilibrium and that the *public sector* always acts irresponsibly
  - Therefore, there could be a *balanced budget* and still a *current account deficit* due to excessive private spending
- Liberal orthodoxy recommends developing countries control budget deficits, while it welcomes current account deficits
  - But this may leave the private sector unbalanced, and the currency overvalued
- But according to neoclassical theory, only "bad quality" current account deficits should be a matter of concern
  - That is, when it increases due to budget deficits

### **Foreign Savings and Financial Crises**

- Financial crises in developing countries are the result of exchange rate populism
  - Growth cum foreign saving policy
  - Exchange rate as *anchor* to achieve *inflation* target
- Balance-of-payment crisis breaks when foreign creditors lose confidence and suspend rollover of debts
- Foreign creditors' decision is conditioned by the expected return, E(R), from the credit operation
  - E(R) also depends on the probability of the loan being paid back
  - > When E(R) < 0 the flow of finance is ruptured

### Foreign Creditor's Decision

The foreign creditor's *expected return* refers to the expected return differential from making the loan *abroad* or at *home*:

$$E(R) = PK[(1 + i) - (ER^{e} - ER)] - K(1 + i^{*})$$

where P = probability of the loan being paid back, K = amount lent in foreign currency, ER = exchange rate at the time of the loan,  $ER^e$  = expected exchange rate at the end of the loan, i = interest rate, and  $i^*$  = international interest rate

- The foreign creditor will make the loan (and continue rolling over the debt) as long as E(R) > 0
  - > But the sign of E(R) depends on the probability P
  - And P depends on the country's liquidity and solvency conditions

#### Foreign Creditor's Decision (cont'd)

• Suppose K = 1, then:

 $E(R) = P[(1+i) - (ER^e - ER)] - (1+i^*)$ 

• Further suppose  $i = i^* = 0.1$ , ER = 1.1 and  $ER^e = 1$ , then:

E(R) = P[(1+0.1) - (1-1.1)] - (1+0.1) = 1.2 P - 1.1

- Therefore, E(R) > 0 for  $P > 1.1/1.2 \cong 0.9167$
- Therefore, foreign creditors will continue rolling over the debt as long as P > 0.9167
- But if P < 0.9167, then foreign creditors will stop rolling over the debt and a *currency crisis* will ensue

### Foreign Creditor's Decision (cont'd)

- The ER<sup>e</sup> also influences the foreign creditor's decision:
  - $\succ$  If  $ER^e$  rises, expected return (in foreign currency) falls
  - To avoid losses (due to *depreciation* of the domestic currency), capital inflows cease and capital outflows increase
  - This contributes to the *depreciation* of the currency (i.e., self-fulfilling prophecy) and causes a *balance-of-payment* (*currency*) crisis
- When a growth cum foreign saving policy is adopted, high and continuous current account deficits follow
  - > High substitution of *foreign* for *domestic* savings takes place
  - Negative effect on *liquidity* and *solvency* constraints
  - Foreign *financial fragility* is followed by *currency* crisis

### **Budget Deficits and Currency Crises**

- Excessive public spending and *budget deficits* may contribute to *currency crises*, but:
  - Currency crises could happen even if there is fiscal balance and low government debt
  - The twin deficit does not always occur
- An overvalued currency causes a current account deficit even if fiscal budget is balanced
  - Overvalued currency stimulates greater imports financed by foreign currency
  - Current account deficit is due here to excessive private sector spending (something neoclassical theory doesn't pay enough attention to)

## Currency Crises, Banking Crises, and Budget Deficits

- Currency crisis may be accompanied by banking crisis since banking system mediates between foreign lenders and domestic borrowers
  - > Banks are pressured by foreign creditors to *repay* loans
  - Banks stop rolling over their loans to private sector
  - Private sector becomes unable to pay back loans
  - Banking crisis ensues
- Since the government might bail out the banks to save the system, the government might move into a fiscal deficit
  - But the government *defici*t is here the *outcome* and not the *cause* of the currency *crisis*

# Creditors' Evaluation of a Country's Liquidity and Solvency

- Creditors conventionally use the ratio between *foreign debt* and *exports* (*D*/*X*) to evaluate a country's *solvency* 
  - > Comfortable situation if D/X < 2
  - > Uncertain situation if 2 < D/X < 4
  - > Critical situation if D/X > 4
- The key is to estimate how the *growth* cum *foreign savings* policy may affect D/X
- Liquidity constraint is defined as the discrepancy between the country's short-term foreign debt (D<sub>ST</sub>) and its international reserves (R)
  - > Low level of *liquidity* if  $D_{ST}/R < 1$

## Impact of Growth cum Foreign Savings on Liquidity

Consider the arbitrage equation where the *expected profit* rate or return on investment (r) is:

 $r = r_f + e + p$ 

where  $r_f$  is the international interest rate, e is the expected devaluation of the domestic currency, and p is the risk premium

• *Foreign capital* will flow into the country as long as:

 $r > r_f + e + p$ 

Let's evaluate the impact of the adoption of a *growth* cum *foreign savings* policy

© Gustavo Indart

## Impact of Growth cum Foreign Savings on Liquidity (cont'd)

Initially the domestic rate of interest might be quite high and the currency quite undervalued, and thus:

 $r > r_f + e + p$ 

- A process of *indebtedness* and currency *appreciation* coincides with the early phase of the liquidity cycle
  - Liberalization of capital account allows local financial institutions to raise funds in *foreign currency*
  - This foreign currency is then sold to the central bank and banks' *reserves* increase
  - Excess reserves are invested in securities or used to give new *loans* to private sector

# Impact of Growth cum Foreign Savings on Liquidity (cont'd)

- Capital *inflows* in excess of those needed to finance the *current account deficit* allow the accumulation of international *reserves* 
  - This gives the wrong impression of lower foreign vulnerability
- Accumulation of *reserves* is followed by an increase in *money* supply
  - According to neoclassical theory, the *rate of interest* would fall and capital *inflows* would slow down
  - But this is not what happens

## Impact of Growth cum Foreign Savings on Liquidity (cont'd)

- The process continues and the systemic *risk* increases
  - $\succ$  Creditors monitor the increase in D/X
  - New loans only finance *debt repayments* now
  - Since there is a *current account deficit*, foreign *reserves* fall
  - As R fall, D<sub>ST</sub>/R increases and at some point lose confidence
  - > When they do, the economy goes into *crisis*
- Creditors are subject to "herd behaviour" and it takes a relatively short period of time for a crisis to materialize
  - It takes only the decision of a single large creditor to trigger the herd behaviour