

# ECO 403 – L0301

## Developmental Macroeconomics

---

### Lecture 3

## The External Constraint to the Process of Growth

# Constraints to Long-Term Economic Growth

- An increase in *autonomous* Aggregate Demand is needed for equilibrium *output* to increase
  - This is true in both the *short* and the *long run*
- But in the *long run*, output *growth* could face some *constraints*:
  - Some factors may prevent the adjustment of the *productive capacity* of firms to the expected increase in sales
  - Some factors may prevent the maintenance of a *balance-of-payment* equilibrium

# Capacity Constraint to Long-Run Economic Growth

- As long as *investment* expands, *productive capacity* will not become a *constraint* on long-run *growth*
- *Investment* depends on the existence of profitable *opportunities*, which in turn depend on *Aggregate Demand*
  - *Investment* is thus a function of the difference between the *expected profit rate* and the *cost of capital*
- Therefore, *investment* may not occur due to:
  - The *expected profit rate* being too low
  - The *cost of capital* being too high

# Economic Growth in the Absence of Capacity Constraints

- The **output** ( $Q$ ) produced at a certain point in time is:

$$Q = vuK \quad (1)$$

where  $K$  is the stock of capital,  $u = Q/\bar{Q}$  is the rate of **productive capacity** utilization and  $\bar{Q}$  is the maximum or **potential** output, and  $v = \bar{Q}/K$  is the **output-capital** ratio (i.e., the maximum output that can be obtained from a unit of capital)

- If we assume that  $u$  and  $v$  are **constant**, then:

$$\Delta Q = vu\Delta K \quad (2)$$

where  $\Delta K$  is **net investment**

# Economic Growth in the Absence of Capacity Constraints (cont'd)

- **Net investment** ( $\Delta K$ ) is the difference between **gross investment** ( $I$ ) and the **depreciation of the capital stock** ( $\delta K$ ):

$$\Delta K = I - \delta K \quad (3)$$

where  $\delta$  is the rate of **depreciation** of the capital stock

- In the long-run equilibrium,  $u$  is equal to the level desired by firms (i.e., the **normal** level of capacity utilization,  $u_n$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta Q &= v u_n \Delta K \\ &= v u_n (I - \delta K) \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

# The Warranted Rate of Growth

$$\Delta Q = v u_n (I - \delta K)$$

- Dividing equation (4) by  $\bar{Q}$  (the *potential* or *maximum* output that can be produced with the existing  $K$ ), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} y^* &= \frac{\Delta Q}{\bar{Q}} \\ &= u_n \left( v \frac{I}{\bar{Q}} - v \delta \frac{K}{\bar{Q}} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

- And since  $v = \frac{\bar{Q}}{K}$

$$y^* = u_n \left( v \frac{I}{\bar{Q}} - \delta \right) \quad (6)$$

- $y^*$  is the *warranted rate of growth*, i.e., the growth rate that would keep  $u$  at its long-term normal level ( $u_n$ )

# The Rate of Profit and the Exchange Rate

- The **profit rate** ( $R$ ) can be expressed as:

$$R = \frac{P}{K} = \frac{P}{Q} * \frac{Q}{\bar{Q}} * \frac{\bar{Q}}{K} = muv \quad (7)$$

where  $P$  is aggregate profit,  $\bar{Q}$  is potential output, and  $m$  is the share of profits in production (or national income)

- Note that  $R$  depends critically on the **real exchange rate**, which defines access to **foreign** and **domestic** markets
  - For instance, an **overvalued** currency would increase foreign competition and **reduce** the **rate of profit**
  - Therefore, equation (7) presupposes a **closed economy** or an **exchange rate** in **equilibrium**

# External Constraint to Long-Run Economic Growth

- The *income elasticity* of demand for *primary* goods tends to be less than one while that for *manufactured* goods tends to be greater than one
- Many developing countries *export* mostly *primary* goods and *import* mostly *manufactured* goods
  - Thus for these countries the *income elasticity* of *imports* is greater than one while that of *exports* is less than one
  - Therefore, these countries would face a *shortage* of *hard currency*
- This is the basic idea behind Chenery-Bruno's *two-gap* model and Prebisch's *centre-periphery* model



# The External Constraint and Exports

- The problem of the ***external constraint*** came to be associated with the need for an increase in ***exports***
  - The growth rate of ***export*** demand is seen as the fundamental motor of long-term economic ***growth***
    - This requires a condition of ***equilibrium*** in the ***balance of payments***
  - Thirlwall argued that the expansion of ***exports*** would cause ***income*** to increase, thus causing ***imports*** to rise even faster than exports
    - Thus a ***deficit*** in the ***trade account*** would arise
- Therefore, the long-run ***growth*** rate should be the rate compatible with ***equilibrium*** in the ***balance of payments***

# The Foreign Constraint and the Rate of Growth

- The growth rate of **exports** ( $\dot{x}$ ) is:

$$\dot{x} = \dot{y}_m * \epsilon \quad (8)$$

where  $\dot{y}_m$  is the growth rate of **world income** and  $\epsilon$  is the **income elasticity** of the demand for **exports**

- The growth rate of **imports** ( $\dot{m}$ ) is:

$$\dot{m} = \dot{y} * \pi \quad (9)$$

where  $\dot{y}$  is the growth rate of **domestic income** and  $\pi$  is the **income elasticity** of the demand for **imports**

- Note that it is assumed that both the **real exchange rate** and the country's **share of world exports** are **constant**

# The External Constraint and the Rate of Growth (cont'd)

- For the *current account* to remain in *balance*,  $\dot{m} = \dot{x}$

$$\dot{y} * \pi = \dot{y}_m * \epsilon$$

- And the domestic *rate of growth* consistent with  $\dot{m} = \dot{x}$  is:

$$\dot{y}_{cce} = \frac{\dot{x}}{\pi} = \dot{y}_m * \frac{\epsilon}{\pi} \quad (10)$$

- This relationship is known as “*Thirlwall’s Law*”
- Note that international *capital flows* are assumed to be nil
- Therefore, if  $\pi > 1$  then  $\dot{y}_{cce}$  will be less than the *warranted* growth rate ( $y^*$ ) allowed by the current rate of *investment* and *output-capital* ratio
  - In this case the *external constraint* is “*binding*” and justifies the adoption of a *policy* to overcome it

# Policies Used to Overcome the External Constraint

- The *two-gap* model → obtaining foreign savings
  - Financing a *current account deficit* with *international loans* and *foreign direct investment*
  - But this implies *currency appreciation*, which discourages *investment*
  - So it provokes a high rate of *substitution* of *foreign* for *domestic* savings
- The *centre-periphery* model → reducing *imports*
  - Implementing *import-substitution industrialization (ISI)* policies
  - While reducing imports of *consumer* goods, *ISI* also expanded imports of *intermediate* and *capital* goods

# Introduction of Capital Flows into the Model

- **Moreno-Brid** extends the model to include **capital flows**
- Now the **balance of payments** would be in **equilibrium** when:

$$M = X + CF \quad (11)$$

where  $M$  is **imports**,  $X$  is **exports**, and  $CF$  is **capital inflows** (or **current account deficit**)

- The dynamics of **foreign indebtedness** must fulfill the condition of long-term **solvency**
  - The relationship between the **current account deficit** and **domestic income** must remain **constant** in the long run
  - The rate of growth of the **current account deficit** ( $\dot{f}$ ) must equal the rate of growth of **domestic income** ( $\dot{y}$ )

# The Model with Capital Flows

- Given  $M = X + CF$  and assuming *constant real exchange rates*, equilibrium in the *balance of payments* implies:

$$\dot{m} = \theta \dot{x} + (1 - \theta) \dot{f} \quad (12)$$

- $\dot{m} = \pi \dot{y}$  is the rate of growth of *imports*
- $\dot{x}$  is the rate of growth of *exports*
- $\dot{f} = \dot{y}$  is the rate of growth of the *current account deficit*
- $\theta = X/M$  (i.e., the percentage of the *imports bill* covered by *exports*)
- $1 - \theta = CF/M$  (i.e., the percentage of the *imports bill* covered by *foreign indebtedness*)

# The Model with Capital Flows (cont'd)

- Therefore, given (12) and assuming  $\theta$  constant, the rate of **growth** ( $\dot{y}_e$ ) consistent with **balance of payment** equilibrium is:

$$\pi \dot{y} = \theta \dot{x} + (1 - \theta) \dot{y}$$

$$\text{since } \dot{m} = \pi \dot{y} \text{ and } \dot{f} = \dot{y}$$

$$[\pi - (1 - \theta)] \dot{y} = \theta \dot{x}$$

$$\dot{y}_e = \frac{\theta \dot{x}}{\pi - (1 - \theta)}$$

(13)

- Note that if  $\theta = 1$  (i.e., if there is no **current account** deficit), then equation (13) would collapse into equation (10):

$$\dot{y}_e = \dot{y}_{cce} = \frac{\dot{x}}{\pi}$$

# Implications of the Model

- Note that an increase in the **current account** deficit reduces  $\theta$ 
  - Therefore, it reduces  $\dot{y}_e$

- While foreign **indebtedness** solves the **foreign constraint** problem, it reduces the **growth** rate

- Moreno-Brid underestimates this reduction because the service of a greater external **debt** contributes to increase the **current account** deficit further

- To start with, a **current account** deficit corresponds to an **overvalued** currency (which reduces the **growth** rate)
  - Therefore, the key explanation for the **external constraint** is the **tendency** for the **currency** to become **overvalued**



# The Competitive / Industrial Equilibrium Exchange Rate

- The crucial thing is to set the *exchange rate* at its *competitive* or *industrial* equilibrium level
- The *competitive* or *industrial* equilibrium exchange rate is the rate that would allow domestic firms using *state-of-the-art* technologies to compete in the market
- Therefore, the real solution is to *neutralize* the tendency to the *overvaluation* of the *currency* due to:
  - *Dutch disease*
  - Capital *inflows*
- Since  $\pi > 1$  and  $\dot{y}_e = \frac{\dot{x}}{\pi}$ , growing with a *balanced* foreign account implies that  $\dot{x} > \dot{y}_e$

# Import/Export Elasticities as Endogenous Variables

- If a country exports *manufactured* goods,  $\pi$  needs not be higher than  $\epsilon$
- If the currency is *overvalued*, the country's productive structure is affected:
  - It would induce a process of perverse *specialization* in the production of *resource-intensive* goods
  - It would cause low *growth* due to *deindustrialization*
- Therefore, a country's *productive structure* and both  $\pi$  and  $\epsilon$  depend on the *exchange rate*
  - Thus both  $\pi$  and  $\epsilon$  are *endogenous* variables

# Exchange Rate Equilibria

- In the absence of *capital flows*, there are two *exchange rate* equilibria:
  - The *current* equilibrium ( $e_{cc}$ ), which balances the current account
  - The *competitive* or *industrial* equilibrium ( $e_{ind}$ ), which makes tradable industries utilizing state-of-the-art technologies economically viable
- If the *currency* is *overvalued* (i.e.,  $e < e_{ind}$ ), then:
  - $\dot{y}_{cce}$  would be decreasing
  - The *foreign constraint* would intensify
  - A process of *deindustrializing* would be underway