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What MMT Do

- Document two interesting observations:
  - The marriage rate features a hump-shaped pattern for individual developed countries over time
  - A positive correlation between marriage rates and the GDP share of manufacturing across countries and for individual countries over time
- Given these facts, the paper considers the hypothesis that the rise and fall in marital unions is explained by the structural transformation of the economy
- Develop a model of structural transformation with home produced services and endogenous marital decisions
- Study if the model can generate the hump-shaped pattern for manufacturing and the marriage rate
What MMT Find

- A parameterized version of the model is able to generate the salient features of structural change—including the hump-shaped pattern in manufacturing and the rise and fall in marital unions.

- Key for the fall in unions and share in manufacturing is the growth in home production productivity—no productivity growth of home goods.
Comment (1): Data

- Are there substantial differences in the patterns of marriage rates versus cohabitation rates?
- Models seem to be about cohabitation
- Why focus on GDP shares of sectors as opposed to employment share of sectors? Prices vary systematically with structural change so GDP shares can mask underlined changes
- Can more direct evidence be brought to bear on the connection between manufacturing and marriages? For example, synthetic cohort analysis with US historical data across broad occupations
Comment (2): Motivation

- What is the intuition for the connection to manufacturing?
- Connection may be related to two independent forces that coincide with manufacturing change, for instance the rise in female labor force participation for the fall in marriages
- Connection to manufacturing (structural change) can provide strong motivation for model features to consider
Comment (3): Quantitative Analysis

- Model has many features, not clear sense of key features, not clear connection with manufacturing share...
- Build from two standard strands of literature: structural change (allocation of hours across sectors) and marriage market
- Gender interacts with structural change, gender gaps...
- Calibration strategy
- Key discipline for the forces of the model
- One idea: use cross-sectional variation of individuals (groups of individuals) within an economy to discipline key elasticities
Conclusion

- Ambitious paper on an interesting and important subject
- Paper will benefit from more direct evidence on the connection between structural change—and manufacturing in particular, and the rise and fall of marriages
- Paper will benefit from a better motivation of model features and discipline in the quantitative analysis