# ECO 426 (Market Design) - Lecture 10

Ettore Damiano

December 5, 2015

Ettore Damiano ECO 426 (Market Design) - Lecture 10

Ettore Damiano ECO 426 (Market Design) - Lecture 10

₹ Ξ > < Ξ >

1

• In the auction models considered so far, there is a single object for sale

< ∃ →

- In the auction models considered so far, there is a single object for sale
- In a multi-item auction: two or more objects are for sale

- In the auction models considered so far, there is a single object for sale
- In a multi-item auction: two or more objects are for sale
  - bidders might want just a single object (unit demand);

- In the auction models considered so far, there is a single object for sale
- In a multi-item auction: two or more objects are for sale
  - bidders might want just a single object (unit demand);
    - an ad on a google search page

- In the auction models considered so far, there is a single object for sale
- In a multi-item auction: two or more objects are for sale
  - bidders might want just a single object (unit demand);
    - an ad on a google search page
  - bidders might be willing to buy more multiple objects

- In the auction models considered so far, there is a single object for sale
- In a multi-item auction: two or more objects are for sale
  - bidders might want just a single object (unit demand);
    - an ad on a google search page
  - bidders might be willing to buy more multiple objects
    - a combination of radio spectrum licenses

- In the auction models considered so far, there is a single object for sale
- In a multi-item auction: two or more objects are for sale
  - bidders might want just a single object (unit demand);
    - an ad on a google search page
  - bidders might be willing to buy more multiple objects
    - a combination of radio spectrum licenses
- Examples of multi-item auctions abound

- In the auction models considered so far, there is a single object for sale
- In a multi-item auction: two or more objects are for sale
  - bidders might want just a single object (unit demand);
    - an ad on a google search page
  - bidders might be willing to buy more multiple objects
    - a combination of radio spectrum licenses
- Examples of multi-item auctions abound
  - treasury bills

- In the auction models considered so far, there is a single object for sale
- In a multi-item auction: two or more objects are for sale
  - bidders might want just a single object (unit demand);
    - an ad on a google search page
  - bidders might be willing to buy more multiple objects
    - a combination of radio spectrum licenses
- Examples of multi-item auctions abound
  - treasury bills
  - radio spectrum

- In the auction models considered so far, there is a single object for sale
- In a multi-item auction: two or more objects are for sale
  - bidders might want just a single object (unit demand);
    - an ad on a google search page
  - bidders might be willing to buy more multiple objects
    - a combination of radio spectrum licenses
- Examples of multi-item auctions abound
  - treasury bills
  - radio spectrum
  - CO<sub>2</sub> emissions

- In the auction models considered so far, there is a single object for sale
- In a multi-item auction: two or more objects are for sale
  - bidders might want just a single object (unit demand);
    - an ad on a google search page
  - bidders might be willing to buy more multiple objects
    - a combination of radio spectrum licenses
- Examples of multi-item auctions abound
  - treasury bills
  - radio spectrum
  - CO<sub>2</sub> emissions
  - landing slots

- In the auction models considered so far, there is a single object for sale
- In a multi-item auction: two or more objects are for sale
  - bidders might want just a single object (unit demand);
    - an ad on a google search page
  - bidders might be willing to buy more multiple objects
    - a combination of radio spectrum licenses
- Examples of multi-item auctions abound
  - treasury bills
  - radio spectrum
  - CO<sub>2</sub> emissions
  - landing slots
  - MBA classes

- In the auction models considered so far, there is a single object for sale
- In a multi-item auction: two or more objects are for sale
  - bidders might want just a single object (unit demand);
    - an ad on a google search page
  - bidders might be willing to buy more multiple objects
    - a combination of radio spectrum licenses
- Examples of multi-item auctions abound
  - treasury bills
  - radio spectrum
  - CO<sub>2</sub> emissions
  - landing slots
  - MBA classes
  - wine auctions

- In the auction models considered so far, there is a single object for sale
- In a multi-item auction: two or more objects are for sale
  - bidders might want just a single object (unit demand);
    - an ad on a google search page
  - bidders might be willing to buy more multiple objects
    - a combination of radio spectrum licenses
- Examples of multi-item auctions abound
  - treasury bills

• oil and gas leases

- radio spectrum
- CO<sub>2</sub> emissions
- landing slots
- MBA classes
- wine auctions

- In the auction models considered so far, there is a single object for sale
- In a multi-item auction: two or more objects are for sale
  - bidders might want just a single object (unit demand);
    - an ad on a google search page
  - bidders might be willing to buy more multiple objects
    - a combination of radio spectrum licenses
- Examples of multi-item auctions abound
  - treasury bills
  - radio spectrum
  - CO<sub>2</sub> emissions
  - landing slots
  - MBA classes
  - wine auctions

- oil and gas leases
- bus routes

- In the auction models considered so far, there is a single object for sale
- In a multi-item auction: two or more objects are for sale
  - bidders might want just a single object (unit demand);
    - an ad on a google search page
  - bidders might be willing to buy more multiple objects
    - a combination of radio spectrum licenses
- Examples of multi-item auctions abound
  - treasury bills
  - radio spectrum
  - CO<sub>2</sub> emissions
  - landing slots
  - MBA classes
  - wine auctions

- oil and gas leases
- bus routes
- sponsored search

- In the auction models considered so far, there is a single object for sale
- In a multi-item auction: two or more objects are for sale
  - bidders might want just a single object (unit demand);
    - an ad on a google search page
  - bidders might be willing to buy more multiple objects
    - a combination of radio spectrum licenses
- Examples of multi-item auctions abound
  - treasury bills
  - radio spectrum
  - CO<sub>2</sub> emissions
  - landing slots
  - MBA classes
  - wine auctions

- oil and gas leases
- bus routes
- sponsored search
- condo sales

- In the auction models considered so far, there is a single object for sale
- In a multi-item auction: two or more objects are for sale
  - bidders might want just a single object (unit demand);
    - an ad on a google search page
  - bidders might be willing to buy more multiple objects
    - a combination of radio spectrum licenses
- Examples of multi-item auctions abound
  - treasury bills
  - radio spectrum
  - CO<sub>2</sub> emissions
  - landing slots
  - MBA classes
  - wine auctions

- oil and gas leases
- bus routes
- sponsored search
- condo sales
- auto auctions

- In the auction models considered so far, there is a single object for sale
- In a multi-item auction: two or more objects are for sale
  - bidders might want just a single object (unit demand);
    - an ad on a google search page
  - bidders might be willing to buy more multiple objects
    - a combination of radio spectrum licenses
- Examples of multi-item auctions abound
  - treasury bills
  - radio spectrum
  - CO<sub>2</sub> emissions
  - landing slots
  - MBA classes
  - wine auctions

- oil and gas leases
- bus routes
- sponsored search
- condo sales
- auto auctions

# multi-unit pricing

Ettore Damiano ECO 426 (Market Design) - Lecture 10

< ≣ >

æ

• When there are multiple objects sold simultaneously (rather than one at the time in sequence), the auction could specify that:

- When there are multiple objects sold simultaneously (rather than one at the time in sequence), the auction could specify that:
  - each object is sold at the same price

- When there are multiple objects sold simultaneously (rather than one at the time in sequence), the auction could specify that:
  - each object is sold at the same price uniform price auction

- When there are multiple objects sold simultaneously (rather than one at the time in sequence), the auction could specify that:
  - each object is sold at the same price uniform price auction
  - price per object might be different

- When there are multiple objects sold simultaneously (rather than one at the time in sequence), the auction could specify that:
  - each object is sold at the same price uniform price auction
  - price per object might be different discriminatory price auction

# Emissions trading

Ettore Damiano ECO 426 (Market Design) - Lecture 10

< ≣⇒

æ

▶ 《 臣 ▶ 《 臣 ▶

• a voluntary emissions trading system created as a pilot prior to the mandatory European Union Emissions Trading Scheme

- a voluntary emissions trading system created as a pilot prior to the mandatory European Union Emissions Trading Scheme
- Started in 2002

- a voluntary emissions trading system created as a pilot prior to the mandatory European Union Emissions Trading Scheme
- Started in 2002
- The voluntary trading scheme recruited participants from UK industries and organisations

- a voluntary emissions trading system created as a pilot prior to the mandatory European Union Emissions Trading Scheme
- Started in 2002
- The voluntary trading scheme recruited participants from UK industries and organisations
- Participants promised to make reductions in their carbon emissions, in return they received a share of a £215 million "incentive fund" from the Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (DEFRA)

- a voluntary emissions trading system created as a pilot prior to the mandatory European Union Emissions Trading Scheme
- Started in 2002
- The voluntary trading scheme recruited participants from UK industries and organisations
- Participants promised to make reductions in their carbon emissions, in return they received a share of a £215 million "incentive fund" from the Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (DEFRA)
- How much each participant would reduce their emission and how much money they would receive in exchange

- a voluntary emissions trading system created as a pilot prior to the mandatory European Union Emissions Trading Scheme
- Started in 2002
- The voluntary trading scheme recruited participants from UK industries and organisations
- Participants promised to make reductions in their carbon emissions, in return they received a share of a £215 million "incentive fund" from the Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (DEFRA)
- How much each participant would reduce their emission and how much money they would receive in exchange was determined through a "clock auction"

# Clock auction

Ettore Damiano ECO 426 (Market Design) - Lecture 10

□ ▶ ★ 臣 ▶ ★ 臣 ▶ ...

æ
• Auction starts with a sufficiently high price

▶ ★ 문 ▶ ★ 문 ▶ ...

1

- Auction starts with a sufficiently high price
  - **price** is the amount of money a firm would receive per unit of reduction in emissions

< E ► < E ►</p>

- Auction starts with a sufficiently high price
  - **price** is the amount of money a firm would receive per unit of reduction in emissions (unit = 1 metric ton of *CO*<sub>2</sub> emissions)

- Auction starts with a sufficiently high price
  - **price** is the amount of money a firm would receive per unit of reduction in emissions (unit = 1 metric ton of *CO*<sub>2</sub> emissions)
- Bidders state the amount of *CO*<sub>2</sub> emissions they would abate at current price

- Auction starts with a sufficiently high price
  - **price** is the amount of money a firm would receive per unit of reduction in emissions (unit = 1 metric ton of *CO*<sub>2</sub> emissions)
- Bidders state the amount of *CO*<sub>2</sub> emissions they would abate at current price
- The auctioneer calculate total cost

- Auction starts with a sufficiently high price
  - **price** is the amount of money a firm would receive per unit of reduction in emissions (unit = 1 metric ton of *CO*<sub>2</sub> emissions)
- Bidders state the amount of *CO*<sub>2</sub> emissions they would abate at current price
- The auctioneer calculate total cost (i.e. tons of CO<sub>2</sub> abatement times price)

- Auction starts with a sufficiently high price
  - **price** is the amount of money a firm would receive per unit of reduction in emissions (unit = 1 metric ton of *CO*<sub>2</sub> emissions)
- Bidders state the amount of *CO*<sub>2</sub> emissions they would abate at current price
- The auctioneer calculate total cost (i.e. tons of CO<sub>2</sub> abatement times price)
  - If total cost >£215m, the price is lowered

- Auction starts with a sufficiently high price
  - **price** is the amount of money a firm would receive per unit of reduction in emissions (unit = 1 metric ton of *CO*<sub>2</sub> emissions)
- Bidders state the amount of *CO*<sub>2</sub> emissions they would abate at current price
- The auctioneer calculate total cost (i.e. tons of CO<sub>2</sub> abatement times price)
  - If total cost >£215m, the price is lowered
  - If total cost  $\leq$  £215m, price (and auction) stops

- Auction starts with a sufficiently high price
  - **price** is the amount of money a firm would receive per unit of reduction in emissions (unit = 1 metric ton of *CO*<sub>2</sub> emissions)
- Bidders state the amount of *CO*<sub>2</sub> emissions they would abate at current price
- The auctioneer calculate total cost (i.e. tons of CO<sub>2</sub> abatement times price)
  - If total cost >£215m, the price is lowered
  - If total cost  $\leq$  £215m, price (and auction) stops
- When price stops, allocation is implemented

- Auction starts with a sufficiently high price
  - **price** is the amount of money a firm would receive per unit of reduction in emissions (unit = 1 metric ton of *CO*<sub>2</sub> emissions)
- Bidders state the amount of *CO*<sub>2</sub> emissions they would abate at current price
- The auctioneer calculate total cost (i.e. tons of CO<sub>2</sub> abatement times price)
  - If total cost >£215m, the price is lowered
  - If total cost  ${\leq}\pounds215\text{m},$  price (and auction) stops
- When price stops, allocation is implemented
  - bidders reduce emissions by their stated amount

- Auction starts with a sufficiently high price
  - **price** is the amount of money a firm would receive per unit of reduction in emissions (unit = 1 metric ton of *CO*<sub>2</sub> emissions)
- Bidders state the amount of *CO*<sub>2</sub> emissions they would abate at current price
- The auctioneer calculate total cost (i.e. tons of CO<sub>2</sub> abatement times price)
  - If total cost >£215m, the price is lowered
  - If total cost  $\leq$  £215m, price (and auction) stops
- When price stops, allocation is implemented
  - bidders reduce emissions by their stated amount
  - bidders receive a subsidy = emissions reduction\*final price

- Auction starts with a sufficiently high price
  - **price** is the amount of money a firm would receive per unit of reduction in emissions (unit = 1 metric ton of *CO*<sub>2</sub> emissions)
- Bidders state the amount of *CO*<sub>2</sub> emissions they would abate at current price
- The auctioneer calculate total cost (i.e. tons of CO<sub>2</sub> abatement times price)
  - If total cost >£215m, the price is lowered
  - If total cost  $\leq$  £215m, price (and auction) stops
- When price stops, allocation is implemented
  - bidders reduce emissions by their stated amount
  - bidders receive a subsidy = emissions reduction\*final price
- Auction results:

- Auction starts with a sufficiently high price
  - **price** is the amount of money a firm would receive per unit of reduction in emissions (unit = 1 metric ton of *CO*<sub>2</sub> emissions)
- Bidders state the amount of *CO*<sub>2</sub> emissions they would abate at current price
- The auctioneer calculate total cost (i.e. tons of CO<sub>2</sub> abatement times price)
  - If total cost >£215m, the price is lowered
  - If total cost  ${\leq}\pounds215\text{m},$  price (and auction) stops
- When price stops, allocation is implemented
  - bidders reduce emissions by their stated amount
  - bidders receive a subsidy = emissions reduction\*final price
- Auction results:
  - total emissions reduction = 4m metric tons of  $CO_2$  emissions

- Auction starts with a sufficiently high price
  - **price** is the amount of money a firm would receive per unit of reduction in emissions (unit = 1 metric ton of *CO*<sub>2</sub> emissions)
- Bidders state the amount of *CO*<sub>2</sub> emissions they would abate at current price
- The auctioneer calculate total cost (i.e. tons of CO<sub>2</sub> abatement times price)
  - If total cost >£215m, the price is lowered
  - If total cost  $\leq$  £215m, price (and auction) stops
- When price stops, allocation is implemented
  - bidders reduce emissions by their stated amount
  - bidders receive a subsidy = emissions reduction\*final price
- Auction results:
  - total emissions reduction = 4m metric tons of  $CO_2$  emissions
  - price per metric ton  $\pounds 53.75$

- Auction starts with a sufficiently high price
  - **price** is the amount of money a firm would receive per unit of reduction in emissions (unit = 1 metric ton of *CO*<sub>2</sub> emissions)
- Bidders state the amount of *CO*<sub>2</sub> emissions they would abate at current price
- The auctioneer calculate total cost (i.e. tons of CO<sub>2</sub> abatement times price)
  - If total cost >£215m, the price is lowered
  - If total cost  $\leq$  £215m, price (and auction) stops
- When price stops, allocation is implemented
  - bidders reduce emissions by their stated amount
  - bidders receive a subsidy = emissions reduction\*final price
- Auction results:
  - total emissions reduction = 4m metric tons of  $CO_2$  emissions
  - price per metric ton  $\pounds 53.75$
  - 34 firms received a subsidy (and reduced their emissions)

伺き くほき くほう

Ettore Damiano ECO 426 (Market Design) - Lecture 10

□ ▶ ★ 臣 ▶ ★ 臣 ▶ ...

æ

• A clock auction traces out the aggregate supply of emission reductions

э

▲ 문 ▶ . ▲ 문 ▶





< ∃ →













• Clock auction price = competitive price



• Clock auction price = competitive price

Q demanded = Q supplied

Ettore Damiano ECO 426 (Market Design) - Lecture 10

< ≣ >

æ

• Each bidder bids a "supply function"

\_ ∢ ≣ ▶

- Each bidder bids a "supply function"
  - a schedule of a quantity of emission reductions at each price

- Each bidder bids a "supply function"
  - a schedule of a quantity of emission reductions at each price
- Bids are open

- Each bidder bids a "supply function"
  - a schedule of a quantity of emission reductions at each price
- Bids are open
- Individual supply functions are aggregated

- Each bidder bids a "supply function"
  - a schedule of a quantity of emission reductions at each price
- Bids are open
- Individual supply functions are aggregated
- Auction price = market clearing price

- Each bidder bids a "supply function"
  - a schedule of a quantity of emission reductions at each price
- Bids are open
- Individual supply functions are aggregated
- Auction price = market clearing price
- Emission reduction amounts are assigned to each bidder given the auction price and their submitted supply functions.

- Each bidder bids a "supply function"
  - a schedule of a quantity of emission reductions at each price
- Bids are open
- Individual supply functions are aggregated
- Auction price = market clearing price
- Emission reduction amounts are assigned to each bidder given the auction price and their submitted supply functions.
- Question: Are the clock auction and the sealed bid supply function auction strategic equivalent?

- Each bidder bids a "supply function"
  - a schedule of a quantity of emission reductions at each price
- Bids are open
- Individual supply functions are aggregated
- Auction price = market clearing price
- Emission reduction amounts are assigned to each bidder given the auction price and their submitted supply functions.
- Question: Are the clock auction and the sealed bid supply function auction strategic equivalent?
  - Yes if, in the clock auction, bidders only observe current price

- Each bidder bids a "supply function"
  - a schedule of a quantity of emission reductions at each price
- Bids are open
- Individual supply functions are aggregated
- Auction price = market clearing price
- Emission reduction amounts are assigned to each bidder given the auction price and their submitted supply functions.
- Question: Are the clock auction and the sealed bid supply function auction strategic equivalent?
  - Yes if, in the clock auction, bidders only observe current price
  - No if, in the clock auction, bidders observe more information
#### Sealed bid supply function auction

- Each bidder bids a "supply function"
  - a schedule of a quantity of emission reductions at each price
- Bids are open
- Individual supply functions are aggregated
- Auction price = market clearing price
- Emission reduction amounts are assigned to each bidder given the auction price and their submitted supply functions.
- Question: Are the clock auction and the sealed bid supply function auction strategic equivalent?
  - Yes if, in the clock auction, bidders only observe current price
  - No if, in the clock auction, bidders observe more information (e.g. competitor supply decisions at each price)

Ettore Damiano ECO 426 (Market Design) - Lecture 10

□→ ★ 臣 ▶ ★ 臣 ▶ …

2

• There are K identical objects to sell

▶ ★ 臣 ▶ ★ 臣 ▶ …

• There are K identical objects to sell (i.e. bidders do not care about the exact object they receive)

▲ 글 ▶ | ▲ 글 ▶

- There are K identical objects to sell (i.e. bidders do not care about the exact object they receive)
- Bidders have unit demand (i.e. they only want to purchase one object)

- There are K identical objects to sell (i.e. bidders do not care about the exact object they receive)
- Bidders have unit demand (i.e. they only want to purchase one object)
- There are N > K bidders

- There are K identical objects to sell (i.e. bidders do not care about the exact object they receive)
- Bidders have unit demand (i.e. they only want to purchase one object)
- There are N > K bidders
- (Independent) private values

- There are K identical objects to sell (i.e. bidders do not care about the exact object they receive)
- Bidders have unit demand (i.e. they only want to purchase one object)
- There are N > K bidders
- (Independent) private values
- Each bidder submits a sealed bid

- There are K identical objects to sell (i.e. bidders do not care about the exact object they receive)
- Bidders have unit demand (i.e. they only want to purchase one object)
- There are N > K bidders
- (Independent) private values
- Each bidder submits a sealed bid
- Bids are open

- There are K identical objects to sell (i.e. bidders do not care about the exact object they receive)
- Bidders have unit demand (i.e. they only want to purchase one object)
- There are N > K bidders
- (Independent) private values
- Each bidder submits a sealed bid
- Bids are open
  - K highest bidders each receive one object

- There are K identical objects to sell (i.e. bidders do not care about the exact object they receive)
- Bidders have unit demand (i.e. they only want to purchase one object)
- There are N > K bidders
- (Independent) private values
- Each bidder submits a sealed bid
- Bids are open
  - K highest bidders each receive one object
  - Winning bidders pay a price equal to  $(K + 1)^{th}$  highest bid

- There are K identical objects to sell (i.e. bidders do not care about the exact object they receive)
- Bidders have unit demand (i.e. they only want to purchase one object)
- There are N > K bidders
- (Independent) private values
- Each bidder submits a sealed bid
- Bids are open
  - K highest bidders each receive one object
  - Winning bidders pay a price equal to  $(K+1)^{th}$  highest bid
- Question: What should bidders bid?

- There are K identical objects to sell (i.e. bidders do not care about the exact object they receive)
- Bidders have unit demand (i.e. they only want to purchase one object)
- There are N > K bidders
- (Independent) private values
- Each bidder submits a sealed bid
- Bids are open
  - K highest bidders each receive one object
  - Winning bidders pay a price equal to  $(K+1)^{th}$  highest bid
- Question: What should bidders bid?
  - Theorem: It is a dominant strategy, for a bidder with unit demand, to bid her valuation.

- There are K identical objects to sell (i.e. bidders do not care about the exact object they receive)
- Bidders have unit demand (i.e. they only want to purchase one object)
- There are N > K bidders
- (Independent) private values
- Each bidder submits a sealed bid
- Bids are open
  - K highest bidders each receive one object
  - Winning bidders pay a price equal to  $(K+1)^{th}$  highest bid
- Question: What should bidders bid?
  - Theorem: It is a dominant strategy, for a bidder with unit demand, to bid her valuation.
  - Same argument as in second price private value auction

• Question: What if bidders want more than one unit?

< E> < E> -

э

- Question: What if bidders want more than one unit?
- Example: Three (identical) objects for sale,

- Question: What if bidders want more than one unit?
- Example: Three (identical) objects for sale, four bidders

- Question: What if bidders want more than one unit?
- Example: Three (identical) objects for sale, four bidders
  - Bidder 1: wants one object, values it \$120

- Question: What if bidders want more than one unit?
- Example: Three (identical) objects for sale, four bidders
  - Bidder 1: wants one object, values it \$120
  - Bidder 2: wants one object, values it \$110

- Question: What if bidders want more than one unit?
- Example: Three (identical) objects for sale, four bidders
  - Bidder 1: wants one object, values it \$120
  - Bidder 2: wants one object, values it \$110
  - Bidder 3: wants one object, values it \$100

- Question: What if bidders want more than one unit?
- Example: Three (identical) objects for sale, four bidders
  - Bidder 1: wants one object, values it \$120
  - Bidder 2: wants one object, values it \$110
  - Bidder 3: wants one object, values it \$100
  - Bidder 4: wants two objects,

- Question: What if bidders want more than one unit?
- Example: Three (identical) objects for sale, four bidders
  - Bidder 1: wants one object, values it \$120
  - Bidder 2: wants one object, values it \$110
  - Bidder 3: wants one object, values it \$100
  - Bidder 4: wants two objects, values each \$105

- Question: What if bidders want more than one unit?
- Example: Three (identical) objects for sale, four bidders
  - Bidder 1: wants one object, values it \$120
  - Bidder 2: wants one object, values it \$110
  - Bidder 3: wants one object, values it \$100
  - Bidder 4: wants two objects, values each \$105
- If every bidder bids own value bids are: 120, 110, 100, 105, 105 (bidder 4 submits a 105 bid for two objects)

- Question: What if bidders want more than one unit?
- Example: Three (identical) objects for sale, four bidders
  - Bidder 1: wants one object, values it \$120
  - Bidder 2: wants one object, values it \$110
  - Bidder 3: wants one object, values it \$100
  - Bidder 4: wants two objects, values each \$105
- If every bidder bids own value bids are: 120, 110, 100, 105, 105 (bidder 4 submits a 105 bid for two objects)
  - Bidders 1, 2, and 4 win one object each

- Question: What if bidders want more than one unit?
- Example: Three (identical) objects for sale, four bidders
  - Bidder 1: wants one object, values it \$120
  - Bidder 2: wants one object, values it \$110
  - Bidder 3: wants one object, values it \$100
  - Bidder 4: wants two objects, values each \$105
- If every bidder bids own value bids are: 120, 110, 100, 105, 105 (bidder 4 submits a 105 bid for two objects)
  - Bidders 1, 2, and 4 win one object each
  - Auction price is 105

- Question: What if bidders want more than one unit?
- Example: Three (identical) objects for sale, four bidders
  - Bidder 1: wants one object, values it \$120
  - Bidder 2: wants one object, values it \$110
  - Bidder 3: wants one object, values it \$100
  - Bidder 4: wants two objects, values each \$105
- If every bidder bids own value bids are: 120, 110, 100, 105, 105 (bidder 4 submits a 105 bid for two objects)
  - Bidders 1, 2, and 4 win one object each
  - Auction price is 105
  - Bidder 4 profit is 0

- Question: What if bidders want more than one unit?
- Example: Three (identical) objects for sale, four bidders
  - Bidder 1: wants one object, values it \$120
  - Bidder 2: wants one object, values it \$110
  - Bidder 3: wants one object, values it \$100
  - Bidder 4: wants two objects, values each \$105
- If every bidder bids own value bids are: 120, 110, 100, 105, 105 (bidder 4 submits a 105 bid for two objects)
  - Bidders 1, 2, and 4 win one object each
  - Auction price is 105
  - Bidder 4 profit is 0
- If Bidder 4 reduces his demand to one object bids are: 120, 110, 100, 105

- Question: What if bidders want more than one unit?
- Example: Three (identical) objects for sale, four bidders
  - Bidder 1: wants one object, values it \$120
  - Bidder 2: wants one object, values it \$110
  - Bidder 3: wants one object, values it \$100
  - Bidder 4: wants two objects, values each \$105
- If every bidder bids own value bids are: 120, 110, 100, 105, 105 (bidder 4 submits a 105 bid for two objects)
  - Bidders 1, 2, and 4 win one object each
  - Auction price is 105
  - Bidder 4 profit is 0
- If Bidder 4 reduces his demand to one object bids are: 120, 110, 100, 105
  - Same allocation

- Question: What if bidders want more than one unit?
- Example: Three (identical) objects for sale, four bidders
  - Bidder 1: wants one object, values it \$120
  - Bidder 2: wants one object, values it \$110
  - Bidder 3: wants one object, values it \$100
  - Bidder 4: wants two objects, values each \$105
- If every bidder bids own value bids are: 120, 110, 100, 105, 105 (bidder 4 submits a 105 bid for two objects)
  - Bidders 1, 2, and 4 win one object each
  - Auction price is 105
  - Bidder 4 profit is 0
- If Bidder 4 reduces his demand to one object bids are: 120, 110, 100, 105
  - Same allocation
  - Auction price is 100

- Question: What if bidders want more than one unit?
- Example: Three (identical) objects for sale, four bidders
  - Bidder 1: wants one object, values it \$120
  - Bidder 2: wants one object, values it \$110
  - Bidder 3: wants one object, values it \$100
  - Bidder 4: wants two objects, values each \$105
- If every bidder bids own value bids are: 120, 110, 100, 105, 105 (bidder 4 submits a 105 bid for two objects)
  - Bidders 1, 2, and 4 win one object each
  - Auction price is 105
  - Bidder 4 profit is 0
- If Bidder 4 reduces his demand to one object bids are: 120, 110, 100, 105
  - Same allocation
  - Auction price is 100
  - Bidder 4 profit is 5

Ettore Damiano ECO 426 (Market Design) - Lecture 10

∢ 臣 ▶

• Question: Is there an "auction mechanism" that

< 臣 > < 臣 > …

- Question: Is there an "auction mechanism" that
  - makes it a dominant strategy for the bidders to submit truthful bids

> < 三 > < 三 >

- Question: Is there an "auction mechanism" that
  - makes it a dominant strategy for the bidders to submit truthful bids (i.e. honestly report their valuations)

< 注入 < 注入 -

- Question: Is there an "auction mechanism" that
  - makes it a dominant strategy for the bidders to submit truthful bids (i.e. honestly report their valuations)
  - lead to an efficient allocation of the objects

▲ 글 ▶ | ▲ 글 ▶

- Question: Is there an "auction mechanism" that
  - makes it a dominant strategy for the bidders to submit truthful bids (i.e. honestly report their valuations)
  - ead to an efficient allocation of the objects (i.e. objects are assigned to the bidders who value them the most)?
- Question: Is there an "auction mechanism" that
  - makes it a dominant strategy for the bidders to submit truthful bids (i.e. honestly report their valuations)
  - ead to an efficient allocation of the objects (i.e. objects are assigned to the bidders who value them the most)?
- Vickrey action:

- Question: Is there an "auction mechanism" that
  - makes it a dominant strategy for the bidders to submit truthful bids (i.e. honestly report their valuations)
  - lead to an efficient allocation of the objects (i.e. objects are assigned to the bidders who value them the most)?
- Vickrey action:
  - Objects are assigned to highest bidders

- Question: Is there an "auction mechanism" that
  - makes it a dominant strategy for the bidders to submit truthful bids (i.e. honestly report their valuations)
  - lead to an efficient allocation of the objects (i.e. objects are assigned to the bidders who value them the most)?
- Vickrey action:
  - Objects are assigned to highest bidders
  - Each bidders pay a price equal to the value of the displaced bidder/s

- Question: Is there an "auction mechanism" that
  - makes it a dominant strategy for the bidders to submit truthful bids (i.e. honestly report their valuations)
  - lead to an efficient allocation of the objects (i.e. objects are assigned to the bidders who value them the most)?
- Vickrey action:
  - Objects are assigned to highest bidders
  - Each bidders pay a price equal to the value of the displaced bidder/s
    - (using submitted bids) calculate the aggregate value of the auction allocation to all bidders but *n*,

伺き くほき くほき

- Question: Is there an "auction mechanism" that
  - makes it a dominant strategy for the bidders to submit truthful bids (i.e. honestly report their valuations)
  - lead to an efficient allocation of the objects (i.e. objects are assigned to the bidders who value them the most)?
- Vickrey action:
  - Objects are assigned to highest bidders
  - Each bidders pay a price equal to the value of the displaced bidder/s
    - (using submitted bids) calculate the aggregate value of the auction allocation to all bidders but n,  $V_{-n}$

伺き くほき くほき

- Question: Is there an "auction mechanism" that
  - makes it a dominant strategy for the bidders to submit truthful bids (i.e. honestly report their valuations)
  - lead to an efficient allocation of the objects (i.e. objects are assigned to the bidders who value them the most)?

#### • Vickrey action:

- Objects are assigned to highest bidders
- Each bidders pay a price equal to the value of the displaced bidder/s
  - (using submitted bids) calculate the aggregate value of the auction allocation to all bidders but n,  $V_{-n}$
  - calculate total value of the allocation of an hypothetical auction where bidder *n* **does not participate**,

伺き くほき くほう

- Question: Is there an "auction mechanism" that
  - makes it a dominant strategy for the bidders to submit truthful bids (i.e. honestly report their valuations)
  - lead to an efficient allocation of the objects (i.e. objects are assigned to the bidders who value them the most)?

#### • Vickrey action:

- Objects are assigned to highest bidders
- Each bidders pay a price equal to the value of the displaced bidder/s
  - (using submitted bids) calculate the aggregate value of the auction allocation to all bidders but n,  $V_{-n}$
  - calculate total value of the allocation of an hypothetical auction where bidder *n* does not participate,  $\hat{\mathcal{V}}_{-n}$

伺き くほき くほう

- Question: Is there an "auction mechanism" that
  - makes it a dominant strategy for the bidders to submit truthful bids (i.e. honestly report their valuations)
  - lead to an efficient allocation of the objects (i.e. objects are assigned to the bidders who value them the most)?

#### • Vickrey action:

- Objects are assigned to highest bidders
- Each bidders pay a price equal to the value of the displaced bidder/s
  - (using submitted bids) calculate the aggregate value of the auction allocation to all bidders but n,  $V_{-n}$
  - calculate total value of the allocation of an hypothetical auction where bidder *n* does not participate,  $\hat{\mathcal{V}}_{-n}$
  - price paid by bidder  $n = \hat{\mathcal{V}}_{-n} \mathcal{V}_{-n}$

(本間) (本語) (本語)

- Question: Is there an "auction mechanism" that
  - makes it a dominant strategy for the bidders to submit truthful bids (i.e. honestly report their valuations)
  - 2 lead to an efficient allocation of the objects (i.e. objects are assigned to the bidders who value them the most)?
- Vickrey action:
  - Objects are assigned to highest bidders
  - Each bidders pay a price equal to the value of the displaced bidder/s
    - (using submitted bids) calculate the aggregate value of the auction allocation to all bidders but n,  $V_{-n}$
    - calculate total value of the allocation of an hypothetical auction where bidder *n* does not participate,  $\hat{\mathcal{V}}_{-n}$
    - price paid by bidder  $n = \hat{\mathcal{V}}_{-n} \mathcal{V}_{-n}$
- bidders pay different prices (discriminatory pricing)

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

- Question: Is there an "auction mechanism" that
  - makes it a dominant strategy for the bidders to submit truthful bids (i.e. honestly report their valuations)
  - lead to an efficient allocation of the objects (i.e. objects are assigned to the bidders who value them the most)?
- Vickrey action:
  - Objects are assigned to highest bidders
  - Each bidders pay a price equal to the value of the displaced bidder/s
    - (using submitted bids) calculate the aggregate value of the auction allocation to all bidders but n,  $V_{-n}$
    - calculate total value of the allocation of an hypothetical auction where bidder *n* does not participate,  $\hat{\mathcal{V}}_{-n}$
    - price paid by bidder  $n = \hat{\mathcal{V}}_{-n} \mathcal{V}_{-n}$
- bidders pay different prices (discriminatory pricing)
- the price each bidder pays equals to the negative externality his participation imposes on all other bidders

(本間) (本語) (本語)

- Question: Is there an "auction mechanism" that
  - makes it a dominant strategy for the bidders to submit truthful bids (i.e. honestly report their valuations)
  - lead to an efficient allocation of the objects (i.e. objects are assigned to the bidders who value them the most)?
- Vickrey action:
  - Objects are assigned to highest bidders
  - Each bidders pay a price equal to the value of the displaced bidder/s
    - (using submitted bids) calculate the aggregate value of the auction allocation to all bidders but n,  $V_{-n}$
    - calculate total value of the allocation of an hypothetical auction where bidder *n* does not participate,  $\hat{\mathcal{V}}_{-n}$
    - price paid by bidder  $n = \hat{\mathcal{V}}_{-n} \mathcal{V}_{-n}$
- bidders pay different prices (discriminatory pricing)
- the price each bidder pays equals to the negative externality his participation imposes on all other bidders
- bidding truthfully is a dominant strategy

• Example: Three (identical) objects for sale, four bidders

< ∃ →

- Bidder 1: wants one object, values it \$120
- Bidder 2: wants one object, values it \$110
- Bidder 3: wants one object, values it \$100
- Bidder 4: wants two objects, values each \$105

- Bidder 1: wants one object, values it \$120
- Bidder 2: wants one object, values it \$110
- Bidder 3: wants one object, values it \$100
- Bidder 4: wants two objects, values each \$105
- Bidding own values, bids are: 120, 110, 100, 105, 105

- Bidder 1: wants one object, values it \$120
- Bidder 2: wants one object, values it \$110
- Bidder 3: wants one object, values it \$100
- Bidder 4: wants two objects, values each \$105
- Bidding own values, bids are: 120, 110, 100, 105, 105
  - Bidders 1, 2, and 4 win one object each

- Bidder 1: wants one object, values it \$120
- Bidder 2: wants one object, values it \$110
- Bidder 3: wants one object, values it \$100
- Bidder 4: wants two objects, values each \$105
- Bidding own values, bids are: 120, 110, 100, 105, 105
  - Bidders 1, 2, and 4 win one object each
  - Removing bidder 1:

- Bidder 1: wants one object, values it \$120
- Bidder 2: wants one object, values it \$110
- Bidder 3: wants one object, values it \$100
- Bidder 4: wants two objects, values each \$105
- Bidding own values, bids are: 120, 110, 100, 105, 105
  - Bidders 1, 2, and 4 win one object each
  - Removing bidder 1: 2 wins one object and 4 wins two objects

- Bidder 1: wants one object, values it \$120
- Bidder 2: wants one object, values it \$110
- Bidder 3: wants one object, values it \$100
- Bidder 4: wants two objects, values each \$105
- Bidding own values, bids are: 120, 110, 100, 105, 105
  - Bidders 1, 2, and 4 win one object each
  - Removing bidder 1: 2 wins one object and 4 wins two objects
    - Bidder 1 price is 105

- Bidder 1: wants one object, values it \$120
- Bidder 2: wants one object, values it \$110
- Bidder 3: wants one object, values it \$100
- Bidder 4: wants two objects, values each \$105
- Bidding own values, bids are: 120, 110, 100, 105, 105
  - Bidders 1, 2, and 4 win one object each
  - Removing bidder 1: 2 wins one object and 4 wins two objects
    - Bidder 1 price is 105 (displaces one unit of bidder 4)

- Bidder 1: wants one object, values it \$120
- Bidder 2: wants one object, values it \$110
- Bidder 3: wants one object, values it \$100
- Bidder 4: wants two objects, values each \$105
- Bidding own values, bids are: 120, 110, 100, 105, 105
  - Bidders 1, 2, and 4 win one object each
  - Removing bidder 1: 2 wins one object and 4 wins two objects
    - Bidder 1 price is 105 (displaces one unit of bidder 4)
  - Removing bidder 2: 1 wins one object and 4 wins two objects

- Bidder 1: wants one object, values it \$120
- Bidder 2: wants one object, values it \$110
- Bidder 3: wants one object, values it \$100
- Bidder 4: wants two objects, values each \$105
- Bidding own values, bids are: 120, 110, 100, 105, 105
  - Bidders 1, 2, and 4 win one object each
  - Removing bidder 1: 2 wins one object and 4 wins two objects
    - Bidder 1 price is 105 (displaces one unit of bidder 4)
  - Removing bidder 2: 1 wins one object and 4 wins two objects
    - Bidder 1 price is 105 (displaces one unit of bidder 4)

- Bidder 1: wants one object, values it \$120
- Bidder 2: wants one object, values it \$110
- Bidder 3: wants one object, values it \$100
- Bidder 4: wants two objects, values each \$105
- Bidding own values, bids are: 120, 110, 100, 105, 105
  - Bidders 1, 2, and 4 win one object each
  - Removing bidder 1: 2 wins one object and 4 wins two objects
    - Bidder 1 price is 105 (displaces one unit of bidder 4)
  - Removing bidder 2: 1 wins one object and 4 wins two objects
    - Bidder 1 price is 105 (displaces one unit of bidder 4)
  - Removing bidder 3: same allocation

- Bidder 1: wants one object, values it \$120
- Bidder 2: wants one object, values it \$110
- Bidder 3: wants one object, values it \$100
- Bidder 4: wants two objects, values each \$105
- Bidding own values, bids are: 120, 110, 100, 105, 105
  - Bidders 1, 2, and 4 win one object each
  - Removing bidder 1: 2 wins one object and 4 wins two objects
    - Bidder 1 price is 105 (displaces one unit of bidder 4)
  - Removing bidder 2: 1 wins one object and 4 wins two objects
    - Bidder 1 price is 105 (displaces one unit of bidder 4)
  - Removing bidder 3: same allocation
    - Bidder 3 price is 0 (displaces nobody)

- Bidder 1: wants one object, values it \$120
- Bidder 2: wants one object, values it \$110
- Bidder 3: wants one object, values it \$100
- Bidder 4: wants two objects, values each \$105
- Bidding own values, bids are: 120, 110, 100, 105, 105
  - Bidders 1, 2, and 4 win one object each
  - Removing bidder 1: 2 wins one object and 4 wins two objects
    - Bidder 1 price is 105 (displaces one unit of bidder 4)
  - Removing bidder 2: 1 wins one object and 4 wins two objects
    - Bidder 1 price is 105 (displaces one unit of bidder 4)
  - Removing bidder 3: same allocation
    - Bidder 3 price is 0 (displaces nobody)
  - Removing bidder 4: 1, 2 and 3 win one object each

- Bidder 1: wants one object, values it \$120
- Bidder 2: wants one object, values it \$110
- Bidder 3: wants one object, values it \$100
- Bidder 4: wants two objects, values each \$105
- Bidding own values, bids are: 120, 110, 100, 105, 105
  - Bidders 1, 2, and 4 win one object each
  - Removing bidder 1: 2 wins one object and 4 wins two objects
    - Bidder 1 price is 105 (displaces one unit of bidder 4)
  - Removing bidder 2: 1 wins one object and 4 wins two objects
    - Bidder 1 price is 105 (displaces one unit of bidder 4)
  - Removing bidder 3: same allocation
    - Bidder 3 price is 0 (displaces nobody)
  - Removing bidder 4: 1, 2 and 3 win one object each
    - Bidder 4 price is 100 (displaces bidder 3)

- Bidder 1: wants one object, values it \$120
- Bidder 2: wants one object, values it \$110
- Bidder 3: wants one object, values it \$100
- Bidder 4: wants two objects, values each \$105
- Bidding own values, bids are: 120, 110, 100, 105, 105
  - Bidders 1, 2, and 4 win one object each
  - Removing bidder 1: 2 wins one object and 4 wins two objects
    - Bidder 1 price is 105 (displaces one unit of bidder 4)
  - Removing bidder 2: 1 wins one object and 4 wins two objects
    - Bidder 1 price is 105 (displaces one unit of bidder 4)
  - Removing bidder 3: same allocation
    - Bidder 3 price is 0 (displaces nobody)
  - Removing bidder 4: 1, 2 and 3 win one object each
    - Bidder 4 price is 100 (displaces bidder 3)
- Bidder 4 has no incentive to reduce demand

- Bidder 1: wants one object, values it \$120
- Bidder 2: wants one object, values it \$110
- Bidder 3: wants one object, values it \$100

- Bidder 1: wants one object, values it \$120
- Bidder 2: wants one object, values it \$110
- Bidder 3: wants one object, values it \$100
- Bidder 4: wants two objects, values each \$115

• Example: Three (identical) objects for sale, four bidders

- Bidder 1: wants one object, values it \$120
- Bidder 2: wants one object, values it \$110
- Bidder 3: wants one object, values it \$100
- Bidder 4: wants two objects, values each \$115

Vickrey pricing

- Bidder 1: wants one object, values it \$120
- Bidder 2: wants one object, values it \$110
- Bidder 3: wants one object, values it \$100
- Bidder 4: wants two objects, values each \$115
- Vickrey pricing
  - Bidder 1 pays 110 (displaces bidder 2)

- Bidder 1: wants one object, values it \$120
- Bidder 2: wants one object, values it \$110
- Bidder 3: wants one object, values it \$100
- Bidder 4: wants two objects, values each \$115
- Vickrey pricing
  - Bidder 1 pays 110 (displaces bidder 2)
  - Bidder 4 pays a total of 210 (displaces bidder 2 and 3)

- Bidder 1: wants one object, values it \$120
- Bidder 2: wants one object, values it \$110
- Bidder 3: wants one object, values it \$100
- Bidder 4: wants two objects, values each \$115
- Vickrey pricing
  - Bidder 1 pays 110 (displaces bidder 2)
  - Bidder 4 pays a total of 210 (displaces bidder 2 and 3)
  - No incentive to change bids

- Bidder 1: wants one object, values it \$120
- Bidder 2: wants one object, values it \$110
- Bidder 3: wants one object, values it \$100
- Bidder 4: wants two objects, values each \$115
- Vickrey pricing
  - Bidder 1 pays 110 (displaces bidder 2)
  - Bidder 4 pays a total of 210 (displaces bidder 2 and 3)
  - No incentive to change bids
- Uniform price (4<sup>th</sup> price auction)

- Bidder 1: wants one object, values it \$120
- Bidder 2: wants one object, values it \$110
- Bidder 3: wants one object, values it \$100
- Bidder 4: wants two objects, values each \$115
- Vickrey pricing
  - Bidder 1 pays 110 (displaces bidder 2)
  - Bidder 4 pays a total of 210 (displaces bidder 2 and 3)
  - No incentive to change bids
- Uniform price (4<sup>th</sup> price auction)
  - price is 110

- Bidder 1: wants one object, values it \$120
- Bidder 2: wants one object, values it \$110
- Bidder 3: wants one object, values it \$100
- Bidder 4: wants two objects, values each \$115
- Vickrey pricing
  - Bidder 1 pays 110 (displaces bidder 2)
  - Bidder 4 pays a total of 210 (displaces bidder 2 and 3)
  - No incentive to change bids
- Uniform price (4<sup>th</sup> price auction)
  - price is 110
  - bidder 4 gains by reducing demand to 1 unit

### Multi-item auctions with different goods

Ettore Damiano ECO 426 (Market Design) - Lecture 10

∢ 臣 ▶
• Multiple objects for sale, K

- Multiple objects for sale, K
- Objects are different

- Multiple objects for sale, K
- Objects are different
  - spectrum licenses in different regions

- Multiple objects for sale, K
- Objects are different
  - spectrum licenses in different regions
  - landing slots at different time of the day

- Multiple objects for sale, K
- Objects are different
  - spectrum licenses in different regions
  - landing slots at different time of the day
  - different bus routes

- Multiple objects for sale, K
- Objects are different
  - spectrum licenses in different regions
  - landing slots at different time of the day
  - different bus routes
  - different MBA classes

- Multiple objects for sale, K
- Objects are different
  - spectrum licenses in different regions
  - landing slots at different time of the day
  - different bus routes
  - different MBA classes
  - 1

- Multiple objects for sale, K
- Objects are different
  - spectrum licenses in different regions
  - landing slots at different time of the day
  - different bus routes
  - different MBA classes
  - ÷
- N bidders

- Multiple objects for sale, K
- Objects are different
  - spectrum licenses in different regions
  - landing slots at different time of the day
  - different bus routes
  - different MBA classes
  - 1
- N bidders
- A bidder n

- Multiple objects for sale, K
- Objects are different
  - spectrum licenses in different regions
  - landing slots at different time of the day
  - different bus routes
  - different MBA classes
- N bidders
- A bidder *n* 
  - has a vector of valuations for each of the objects,

- Multiple objects for sale, K
- Objects are different
  - spectrum licenses in different regions
  - landing slots at different time of the day
  - different bus routes
  - different MBA classes
- N bidders
- A bidder *n* 
  - has a vector of valuations for each of the objects,  $(v_n^1, \ldots, v_n^K)$

- Multiple objects for sale, K
- Objects are different
  - spectrum licenses in different regions
  - landing slots at different time of the day
  - different bus routes
  - different MBA classes
- N bidders
- A bidder *n* 
  - has a vector of valuations for each of the objects,  $(v_n^1, \ldots, v_n^K)$
  - only wants one of the objects (unit demand)

Ettore Damiano ECO 426 (Market Design) - Lecture 10

∢ ≣ ▶ –

• Example: Three bidders, three objects

Ettore Damiano ECO 426 (Market Design) - Lecture 10

- Example: Three bidders, three objects
  - Bidder A valuations: 3.1, 5.2, 7.3

Ettore Damiano ECO 426 (Market Design) - Lecture 10

- Example: Three bidders, three objects
  - Bidder A valuations: 3.1, 5.2, 7.3
  - Bidder B valuations: 4.3, 3.2, 6.1

- Example: Three bidders, three objects
  - Bidder A valuations: 3.1, 5.2, 7.3
  - Bidder B valuations: 4.3, 3.2, 6.1
  - Bidder C valuations: 6.2, 2.1, 5.3

- Example: Three bidders, three objects
  - Bidder A valuations: 3.1, 5.2, 7.3
  - Bidder B valuations: 4.3, 3.2, 6.1
  - Bidder C valuations: 6.2, 2.1, 5.3
- propose a price for an object to maximize profit

- Example: Three bidders, three objects
  - Bidder A valuations: 3.1, 5.2, 7.3
  - Bidder B valuations: 4.3, 3.2, 6.1
  - Bidder C valuations: 6.2, 2.1, 5.3
- propose a price for an object to maximize profit
  - $\bullet\,$  A and B offer 0 for good 3, C offers zero to good 1

- Example: Three bidders, three objects
  - Bidder A valuations: 3.1, 5.2, 7.3
  - Bidder B valuations: 4.3, 3.2, 6.1
  - Bidder C valuations: 6.2, 2.1, 5.3
- propose a price for an object to maximize profit
  - A and B offer 0 for good 3, C offers zero to good 1
- sellers "hold" one highest price offer and rejects all others

- Example: Three bidders, three objects
  - Bidder A valuations: 3.1, 5.2, 7.3
  - Bidder B valuations: 4.3, 3.2, 6.1
  - Bidder C valuations: 6.2, 2.1, 5.3
- propose a price for an object to maximize profit
  - A and B offer 0 for good 3, C offers zero to good 1
- sellers "hold" one highest price offer and rejects all others
  - good 3 rejects B's offer

- Example: Three bidders, three objects
  - Bidder A valuations: 3.1, 5.2, 7.3
  - Bidder B valuations: 4.3, 3.2, 6.1
  - Bidder C valuations: 6.2, 2.1, 5.3
- propose a price for an object to maximize profit
  - $\bullet\,$  A and B offer 0 for good 3, C offers zero to good 1
- sellers "hold" one highest price offer and rejects all others
  - good 3 rejects B's offer
- rejected bidders make new proposals

- Example: Three bidders, three objects
  - Bidder A valuations: 3.1, 5.2, 7.3
  - Bidder B valuations: 4.3, 3.2, 6.1
  - Bidder C valuations: 6.2, 2.1, 5.3
- propose a price for an object to maximize profit
  - $\bullet\,$  A and B offer 0 for good 3, C offers zero to good 1
- sellers "hold" one highest price offer and rejects all others

• good 3 rejects B's offer

- Example: Three bidders, three objects
  - Bidder A valuations: 3.1, 5.2, 7.3
  - Bidder B valuations: 4.3, 3.2, 6.1
  - Bidder C valuations: 6.2, 2.1, 5.3
- propose a price for an object to maximize profit
  - $\bullet\,$  A and B offer 0 for good 3, C offers zero to good 1
- sellers "hold" one highest price offer and rejects all others
  - good 3 rejects B's offer
- rejected bidders make new proposals (min price increase: 1)
  - B offers \$1 to good 3

- Example: Three bidders, three objects
  - Bidder A valuations: 3.1, 5.2, 7.3
  - Bidder B valuations: 4.3, 3.2, 6.1
  - Bidder C valuations: 6.2, 2.1, 5.3
- propose a price for an object to maximize profit
  - A and B offer 0 for good 3, C offers zero to good 1
- sellers "hold" one highest price offer and rejects all others

- rejected bidders make new proposals (min price increase: 1)
  - B offers \$1 to good 3  $\Rightarrow$  good 3 rejects A's offer

- Example: Three bidders, three objects
  - Bidder A valuations: 3.1, 5.2, 7.3
  - Bidder B valuations: 4.3, 3.2, 6.1
  - Bidder C valuations: 6.2, 2.1, 5.3
- propose a price for an object to maximize profit
  - A and B offer 0 for good 3, C offers zero to good 1
- sellers "hold" one highest price offer and rejects all others

- rejected bidders make new proposals (min price increase: 1)
  - B offers \$1 to good 3  $\Rightarrow$  good 3 rejects A's offer
  - A offers \$1 to good 3

- Example: Three bidders, three objects
  - Bidder A valuations: 3.1, 5.2, 7.3
  - Bidder B valuations: 4.3, 3.2, 6.1
  - Bidder C valuations: 6.2, 2.1, 5.3
- propose a price for an object to maximize profit
  - A and B offer 0 for good 3, C offers zero to good 1
- sellers "hold" one highest price offer and rejects all others

- rejected bidders make new proposals (min price increase: 1)
  - B offers \$1 to good 3  $\Rightarrow$  good 3 rejects A's offer
  - A offers \$1 to good  $3 \Rightarrow$  good 3 rejects B's offer

- Example: Three bidders, three objects
  - Bidder A valuations: 3.1, 5.2, 7.3
  - Bidder B valuations: 4.3, 3.2, 6.1
  - Bidder C valuations: 6.2, 2.1, 5.3
- propose a price for an object to maximize profit
  - A and B offer 0 for good 3, C offers zero to good 1
- sellers "hold" one highest price offer and rejects all others

- rejected bidders make new proposals (min price increase: 1)
  - B offers \$1 to good 3  $\Rightarrow$  good 3 rejects A's offer
  - A offers \$1 to good  $3 \Rightarrow$  good 3 rejects B's offer
  - B offers \$0 to good 1

- Example: Three bidders, three objects
  - Bidder A valuations: 3.1, 5.2, 7.3
  - Bidder B valuations: 4.3, 3.2, 6.1
  - Bidder C valuations: 6.2, 2.1, 5.3
- propose a price for an object to maximize profit
  - A and B offer 0 for good 3, C offers zero to good 1
- sellers "hold" one highest price offer and rejects all others

- rejected bidders make new proposals (min price increase: 1)
  - B offers \$1 to good 3  $\Rightarrow$  good 3 rejects A's offer
  - A offers \$1 to good  $3 \Rightarrow$  good 3 rejects B's offer
  - B offers \$0 to good  $1 \Rightarrow$  good 1 rejects B's offer

- Example: Three bidders, three objects
  - Bidder A valuations: 3.1, 5.2, 7.3
  - Bidder B valuations: 4.3, 3.2, 6.1
  - Bidder C valuations: 6.2, 2.1, 5.3
- propose a price for an object to maximize profit
  - $\bullet\,$  A and B offer 0 for good 3, C offers zero to good 1
- sellers "hold" one highest price offer and rejects all others

- rejected bidders make new proposals (min price increase: 1)
  - B offers \$1 to good 3  $\Rightarrow$  good 3 rejects A's offer
  - A offers \$1 to good  $3 \Rightarrow$  good 3 rejects B's offer
  - B offers \$0 to good  $1 \Rightarrow$  good 1 rejects B's offer
  - B offers \$2 to good 3

- Example: Three bidders, three objects
  - Bidder A valuations: 3.1, 5.2, 7.3
  - Bidder B valuations: 4.3, 3.2, 6.1
  - Bidder C valuations: 6.2, 2.1, 5.3
- propose a price for an object to maximize profit
  - $\bullet\,$  A and B offer 0 for good 3, C offers zero to good 1
- sellers "hold" one highest price offer and rejects all others

- rejected bidders make new proposals (min price increase: 1)
  - B offers \$1 to good  $3 \Rightarrow$  good 3 rejects A's offer
  - A offers \$1 to good  $3 \Rightarrow$  good 3 rejects B's offer
  - B offers \$0 to good 1  $\Rightarrow$  good 1 rejects B's offer
  - B offers \$2 to good  $3 \Rightarrow$  good 3 rejects A's offer

- Example: Three bidders, three objects
  - Bidder A valuations: 3.1, 5.2, 7.3
  - Bidder B valuations: 4.3, 3.2, 6.1
  - Bidder C valuations: 6.2, 2.1, 5.3
- propose a price for an object to maximize profit
  - $\bullet\,$  A and B offer 0 for good 3, C offers zero to good 1
- sellers "hold" one highest price offer and rejects all others

good 3 rejects B's offer

- B offers \$1 to good  $3 \Rightarrow$  good 3 rejects A's offer
- A offers \$1 to good  $3 \Rightarrow$  good 3 rejects B's offer
- B offers \$0 to good  $1 \Rightarrow$  good 1 rejects B's offer
- B offers \$2 to good  $3 \Rightarrow$  good 3 rejects A's offer
- A offers \$2 to good 3

- Example: Three bidders, three objects
  - Bidder A valuations: 3.1, 5.2, 7.3
  - Bidder B valuations: 4.3, 3.2, 6.1
  - Bidder C valuations: 6.2, 2.1, 5.3
- propose a price for an object to maximize profit
  - A and B offer 0 for good 3, C offers zero to good 1
- sellers "hold" one highest price offer and rejects all others

• good 3 rejects B's offer

- B offers \$1 to good  $3 \Rightarrow$  good 3 rejects A's offer
- A offers \$1 to good  $3 \Rightarrow$  good 3 rejects B's offer
- B offers \$0 to good  $1 \Rightarrow$  good 1 rejects B's offer
- B offers \$2 to good  $3 \Rightarrow$  good 3 rejects A's offer
- A offers \$2 to good  $3 \Rightarrow$  good 3 rejects B's offer

- Example: Three bidders, three objects
  - Bidder A valuations: 3.1, 5.2, 7.3
  - Bidder B valuations: 4.3, 3.2, 6.1
  - Bidder C valuations: 6.2, 2.1, 5.3
- propose a price for an object to maximize profit
  - A and B offer 0 for good 3, C offers zero to good 1
- sellers "hold" one highest price offer and rejects all others

good 3 rejects B's offer

- B offers \$1 to good  $3 \Rightarrow$  good 3 rejects A's offer
- A offers \$1 to good  $3 \Rightarrow$  good 3 rejects B's offer
- B offers \$0 to good  $1 \Rightarrow$  good 1 rejects B's offer
- B offers \$2 to good  $3 \Rightarrow$  good 3 rejects A's offer
- A offers \$2 to good  $3 \Rightarrow$  good 3 rejects B's offer
- B offers \$1 to good 1

- Example: Three bidders, three objects
  - Bidder A valuations: 3.1, 5.2, 7.3
  - Bidder B valuations: 4.3, 3.2, 6.1
  - Bidder C valuations: 6.2, 2.1, 5.3
- propose a price for an object to maximize profit
  - A and B offer 0 for good 3, C offers zero to good 1
- sellers "hold" one highest price offer and rejects all others

• good 3 rejects B's offer

- B offers \$1 to good 3  $\Rightarrow$  good 3 rejects A's offer
- A offers \$1 to good  $3 \Rightarrow$  good 3 rejects B's offer
- B offers \$0 to good  $1 \Rightarrow$  good 1 rejects B's offer
- B offers \$2 to good  $3 \Rightarrow$  good 3 rejects A's offer
- A offers \$2 to good  $3 \Rightarrow$  good 3 rejects B's offer
- B offers \$1 to good  $1 \Rightarrow$  good 1 rejects C's offer

- Example: Three bidders, three objects
  - Bidder A valuations: 3.1, 5.2, 7.3
  - Bidder B valuations: 4.3, 3.2, 6.1
  - Bidder C valuations: 6.2, 2.1, 5.3
- propose a price for an object to maximize profit
  - $\bullet\,$  A and B offer 0 for good 3, C offers zero to good 1
- sellers "hold" one highest price offer and rejects all others

• good 3 rejects B's offer

• rejected bidders make new proposals (min price increase: 1)

- B offers \$1 to good 3  $\Rightarrow$  good 3 rejects A's offer
- A offers \$1 to good  $3 \Rightarrow$  good 3 rejects B's offer
- B offers \$0 to good  $1 \Rightarrow$  good 1 rejects B's offer
- B offers \$2 to good  $3 \Rightarrow$  good 3 rejects A's offer
- A offers \$2 to good  $3 \Rightarrow$  good 3 rejects B's offer
- B offers \$1 to good  $1 \Rightarrow$  good 1 rejects C's offer
- C offers \$1 to good 1

伺き くほき くほう
### a simple example of ascending auction

- Example: Three bidders, three objects
  - Bidder A valuations: 3.1, 5.2, 7.3
  - Bidder B valuations: 4.3, 3.2, 6.1
  - Bidder C valuations: 6.2, 2.1, 5.3
- propose a price for an object to maximize profit
  - $\bullet\,$  A and B offer 0 for good 3, C offers zero to good 1
- sellers "hold" one highest price offer and rejects all others

good 3 rejects B's offer

• rejected bidders make new proposals (min price increase: 1)

- B offers \$1 to good 3  $\Rightarrow$  good 3 rejects A's offer
- A offers \$1 to good  $3 \Rightarrow$  good 3 rejects B's offer
- B offers \$0 to good  $1 \Rightarrow$  good 1 rejects B's offer
- B offers \$2 to good  $3 \Rightarrow$  good 3 rejects A's offer
- A offers \$2 to good  $3 \Rightarrow$  good 3 rejects B's offer
- B offers \$1 to good  $1 \Rightarrow$  good 1 rejects C's offer
- C offers \$1 to good  $1 \Rightarrow$  good 1 rejects B's offer

伺き くほき くほう

## a simple example of ascending auction

- Example: Three bidders, three objects
  - Bidder A valuations: 3.1, 5.2, 7.3
  - Bidder B valuations: 4.3, 3.2, 6.1
  - Bidder C valuations: 6.2, 2.1, 5.3
- propose a price for an object to maximize profit
  - $\bullet\,$  A and B offer 0 for good 3, C offers zero to good 1
- sellers "hold" one highest price offer and rejects all others

• good 3 rejects B's offer

• rejected bidders make new proposals (min price increase: 1)

- B offers \$1 to good 3  $\Rightarrow$  good 3 rejects A's offer
- A offers \$1 to good  $3 \Rightarrow$  good 3 rejects B's offer
- B offers \$0 to good  $1 \Rightarrow$  good 1 rejects B's offer
- B offers \$2 to good  $3 \Rightarrow$  good 3 rejects A's offer
- A offers \$2 to good  $3 \Rightarrow$  good 3 rejects B's offer
- B offers \$1 to good  $1 \Rightarrow$  good 1 rejects C's offer
- C offers \$1 to good  $1 \Rightarrow$  good 1 rejects B's offer
- B offers \$0 to good 2

(人間) (人) (人) (人) (人)

# a simple example of ascending auction

- Example: Three bidders, three objects
  - Bidder A valuations: 3.1, 5.2, 7.3
  - Bidder B valuations: 4.3, 3.2, 6.1
  - Bidder C valuations: 6.2, 2.1, 5.3
- propose a price for an object to maximize profit
  - $\bullet\,$  A and B offer 0 for good 3, C offers zero to good 1
- sellers "hold" one highest price offer and rejects all others

• good 3 rejects B's offer

• rejected bidders make new proposals (min price increase: 1)

- B offers \$1 to good 3  $\Rightarrow$  good 3 rejects A's offer
- A offers \$1 to good  $3 \Rightarrow$  good 3 rejects B's offer
- B offers \$0 to good  $1 \Rightarrow$  good 1 rejects B's offer
- B offers \$2 to good  $3 \Rightarrow$  good 3 rejects A's offer
- A offers \$2 to good  $3 \Rightarrow$  good 3 rejects B's offer
- B offers \$1 to good  $1 \Rightarrow$  good 1 rejects C's offer
- C offers \$1 to good  $1 \Rightarrow$  good 1 rejects B's offer
- B offers \$0 to good 2

• A gets 3 at \$2, B gets 2 at \$0, C gets 1 at \$1

Ettore Damiano ECO 426 (Market Design) - Lecture 10

< 注 ▶ ....

• More generally,

∢ 臣 ▶

- More generally,
  - Bidders have preferences over (object-price) pairs

- More generally,
  - Bidders have preferences over (object-price) pairs
    Example: Bidder 1's valuations 3.1, 5.2, 7.3 ⇒ preferences

- More generally,
  - Bidders have preferences over (object-price) pairs Example: Bidder 1's valuations 3.1, 5.2, 7.3  $\Rightarrow$  preferences (3,\$0)  $\succ$  (3,\$1)  $\succ$  (3,\$2)  $\succ$  (2,\$0)  $\succ$  (3,\$3)  $\succ$  (2,\$1)  $\succ$ (3,\$4)  $\succ$  (2,\$2)  $\succ$  (1,\$0)  $\succ \dots$

- More generally,
  - Bidders have preferences over (object-price) pairs Example: Bidder 1's valuations 3.1, 5.2, 7.3  $\Rightarrow$  preferences  $(3,\$0) \succ (3,\$1) \succ (3,\$2) \succ (2,\$0) \succ (3,\$3) \succ (2,\$1) \succ$  $(3,\$4) \succ (2,\$2) \succ (1,\$0) \succ \dots$
  - Sellers prefer the highest offer

- More generally,
  - Bidders have preferences over (object-price) pairs Example: Bidder 1's valuations 3.1, 5.2, 7.3  $\Rightarrow$  preferences (3,\$0)  $\succ$  (3,\$1)  $\succ$  (3,\$2)  $\succ$  (2,\$0)  $\succ$  (3,\$3)  $\succ$  (2,\$1)  $\succ$ (3,\$4)  $\succ$  (2,\$2)  $\succ$  (1,\$0)  $\succ \dots$
  - Sellers prefer the highest offer
  - Bidders propose to objects following their preference list

- More generally,
  - Bidders have preferences over (object-price) pairs Example: Bidder 1's valuations 3.1, 5.2, 7.3  $\Rightarrow$  preferences (3,\$0)  $\succ$  (3,\$1)  $\succ$  (3,\$2)  $\succ$  (2,\$0)  $\succ$  (3,\$3)  $\succ$  (2,\$1)  $\succ$ (3,\$4)  $\succ$  (2,\$2)  $\succ$  (1,\$0)  $\succ \dots$
  - Sellers prefer the highest offer
  - Bidders propose to objects following their preference list
  - Sellers "hold" the highest offer and reject all others

- More generally,
  - Bidders have preferences over (object-price) pairs Example: Bidder 1's valuations 3.1, 5.2, 7.3  $\Rightarrow$  preferences (3,\$0)  $\succ$  (3,\$1)  $\succ$  (3,\$2)  $\succ$  (2,\$0)  $\succ$  (3,\$3)  $\succ$  (2,\$1)  $\succ$ (3,\$4)  $\succ$  (2,\$2)  $\succ$  (1,\$0)  $\succ \dots$
  - Sellers prefer the highest offer
  - Bidders propose to objects following their preference list
  - Sellers "hold" the highest offer and reject all others
  - Rejected bidders make next offer on their preference list

- More generally,
  - Bidders have preferences over (object-price) pairs Example: Bidder 1's valuations 3.1, 5.2, 7.3  $\Rightarrow$  preferences (3,\$0)  $\succ$  (3,\$1)  $\succ$  (3,\$2)  $\succ$  (2,\$0)  $\succ$  (3,\$3)  $\succ$  (2,\$1)  $\succ$ (3,\$4)  $\succ$  (2,\$2)  $\succ$  (1,\$0)  $\succ \dots$
  - Sellers prefer the highest offer
  - Bidders propose to objects following their preference list
  - Sellers "hold" the highest offer and reject all others
  - Rejected bidders make next offer on their preference list
  - Process ends when no offer is rejected

More generally,

• Bidders have preferences over (object-price) pairs Example: Bidder 1's valuations 3.1, 5.2, 7.3  $\Rightarrow$  preferences (3,\$0)  $\succ$  (3,\$1)  $\succ$  (3,\$2)  $\succ$  (2,\$0)  $\succ$  (3,\$3)  $\succ$  (2,\$1)  $\succ$ (3,\$4)  $\succ$  (2,\$2)  $\succ$  (1,\$0)  $\succ \dots$ 

- Sellers prefer the highest offer
- Bidders propose to objects following their preference list
- Sellers "hold" the highest offer and reject all others
- Rejected bidders make next offer on their preference list
- Process ends when no offer is rejected
- Have we seen this before?

- More generally,
  - Bidders have preferences over (object-price) pairs Example: Bidder 1's valuations 3.1, 5.2, 7.3  $\Rightarrow$  preferences (3,\$0)  $\succ$  (3,\$1)  $\succ$  (3,\$2)  $\succ$  (2,\$0)  $\succ$  (3,\$3)  $\succ$  (2,\$1)  $\succ$ (3,\$4)  $\succ$  (2,\$2)  $\succ$  (1,\$0)  $\succ \dots$
  - Sellers prefer the highest offer
  - Bidders propose to objects following their preference list
  - Sellers "hold" the highest offer and reject all others
  - Rejected bidders make next offer on their preference list
  - Process ends when no offer is rejected
- Have we seen this before?
  - Deferred acceptance with bidders proposing

Ettore Damiano ECO 426 (Market Design) - Lecture 10

< 注 → -

• Unit demand  $\Rightarrow$  one-to-one matching

Ettore Damiano ECO 426 (Market Design) - Lecture 10

문▶ ★ 문▶ ...

- Unit demand  $\Rightarrow$  one-to-one matching
  - each bidder receives at most one object

- ∢ ⊒ ▶

- Unit demand  $\Rightarrow$  one-to-one matching
  - each bidder receives at most one object
  - each object is assigned at most to one bidder

- Unit demand  $\Rightarrow$  one-to-one matching
  - each bidder receives at most one object
  - each object is assigned at most to one bidder
- With one-to-one matching, the DA bidder proposing algorithm

- Unit demand  $\Rightarrow$  one-to-one matching
  - each bidder receives at most one object
  - each object is assigned at most to one bidder
- With one-to-one matching, the DA bidder proposing algorithm
  - yields a stable matching

- Unit demand  $\Rightarrow$  one-to-one matching
  - each bidder receives at most one object
  - each object is assigned at most to one bidder
- With one-to-one matching, the DA bidder proposing algorithm
  - yields a stable matching
  - is strategy proof for the bidders (i.e. it is a dominant strategy to report preference list honestly / bid truthfully)

- Unit demand  $\Rightarrow$  one-to-one matching
  - each bidder receives at most one object
  - each object is assigned at most to one bidder
- With one-to-one matching, the DA bidder proposing algorithm
  - yields a stable matching
  - is strategy proof for the bidders (i.e. it is a dominant strategy to report preference list honestly / bid truthfully)
- Stable matching means that at the final auction prices

- Unit demand ⇒ one-to-one matching
  - each bidder receives at most one object
  - each object is assigned at most to one bidder
- With one-to-one matching, the DA bidder proposing algorithm
  - yields a stable matching
  - is strategy proof for the bidders (i.e. it is a dominant strategy to report preference list honestly / bid truthfully)
- Stable matching means that at the final auction prices
  - each object is demanded by at most one person

- Unit demand ⇒ one-to-one matching
  - each bidder receives at most one object
  - each object is assigned at most to one bidder
- With one-to-one matching, the DA bidder proposing algorithm
  - yields a stable matching
  - is strategy proof for the bidders (i.e. it is a dominant strategy to report preference list honestly / bid truthfully)
- Stable matching means that at the final auction prices
  - each object is demanded by at most one person
  - not demanded objects have zero price

- Unit demand ⇒ one-to-one matching
  - each bidder receives at most one object
  - each object is assigned at most to one bidder
- With one-to-one matching, the DA bidder proposing algorithm
  - yields a stable matching
  - is strategy proof for the bidders (i.e. it is a dominant strategy to report preference list honestly / bid truthfully)
- Stable matching means that at the final auction prices
  - each object is demanded by at most one person
  - not demanded objects have zero price (price only grows if more than one person demands it)

- Unit demand ⇒ one-to-one matching
  - each bidder receives at most one object
  - each object is assigned at most to one bidder
- With one-to-one matching, the DA bidder proposing algorithm
  - yields a stable matching
  - is strategy proof for the bidders (i.e. it is a dominant strategy to report preference list honestly / bid truthfully)
- Stable matching means that at the final auction prices
  - each object is demanded by at most one person
  - not demanded objects have zero price (price only grows if more than one person demands it)
- Auction prices are such that

- Unit demand ⇒ one-to-one matching
  - each bidder receives at most one object
  - each object is assigned at most to one bidder
- With one-to-one matching, the DA bidder proposing algorithm
  - yields a stable matching
  - is strategy proof for the bidders (i.e. it is a dominant strategy to report preference list honestly / bid truthfully)
- Stable matching means that at the final auction prices
  - each object is demanded by at most one person
  - not demanded objects have zero price (price only grows if more than one person demands it)
- Auction prices are such that
  - either demand = supply, or

- Unit demand ⇒ one-to-one matching
  - each bidder receives at most one object
  - each object is assigned at most to one bidder
- With one-to-one matching, the DA bidder proposing algorithm
  - yields a stable matching
  - is strategy proof for the bidders (i.e. it is a dominant strategy to report preference list honestly / bid truthfully)
- Stable matching means that at the final auction prices
  - each object is demanded by at most one person
  - not demanded objects have zero price (price only grows if more than one person demands it)
- Auction prices are such that
  - $\bullet~$  either demand = supply, or
  - demand=0<1=supply and price is zero

- Unit demand  $\Rightarrow$  one-to-one matching
  - each bidder receives at most one object
  - each object is assigned at most to one bidder
- With one-to-one matching, the DA bidder proposing algorithm
  - yields a stable matching
  - is strategy proof for the bidders (i.e. it is a dominant strategy to report preference list honestly / bid truthfully)
- Stable matching means that at the final auction prices
  - each object is demanded by at most one person
  - not demanded objects have zero price (price only grows if more than one person demands it)
- Auction prices are such that
  - $\bullet~$  either demand = supply, or
  - demand=0<1=supply and price is zero
  - competitive equilibrium

- Unit demand  $\Rightarrow$  one-to-one matching
  - each bidder receives at most one object
  - each object is assigned at most to one bidder
- With one-to-one matching, the DA bidder proposing algorithm
  - yields a stable matching
  - is strategy proof for the bidders (i.e. it is a dominant strategy to report preference list honestly / bid truthfully)
- Stable matching means that at the final auction prices
  - each object is demanded by at most one person
  - not demanded objects have zero price (price only grows if more than one person demands it)
- Auction prices are such that
  - either demand = supply, or
  - demand=0<1=supply and price is zero
  - competitive equilibrium
  - same as Vickrey prices (strategy proof)