

Note: The numbering of the answers in what follows is 4.4, 4.5, 4.6, 4.8 (this was the numbering in the previous edition of the book). These answers correspond to exercises 5.4, 5.5, 5.6, 5.8 that I have assigned from the current edition of the book.

4.4

$$u_t = \ln c_t + b \frac{(1-l_t)^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma}$$

one-period problem

$$\max \ln c + b \frac{(1-l)^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma}$$

$$\text{s.t. } c = wl.$$

$$L = \ln c + b \frac{(1-l)^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} + \lambda [wl - c]$$

FONC:

$$c: \frac{1}{c} = \lambda. \quad (1)$$

$$l: -b(1-l)^{-\gamma} + \lambda w = 0. \quad (2)$$

$$\lambda: wl = c. \quad (3)$$

from (1), (2) :

$$b(1-l)^{-\gamma} = \frac{w}{c}$$

using (3) :

$$b(1-l)^{-\gamma} = \frac{w}{wl}$$

$$\Rightarrow b(1-l)^{-\gamma} = \frac{1}{l}$$

this is independent of the wage w .

9

b)

Two-period Problem

$$\max \left\{ \ln c_1 + \frac{b(1-\ell_1)^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} + \bar{e}^\rho \left[\ln c_2 + b(1-\ell_2)^{1-\gamma} \right] \right\}$$

s.t.

$$w_1 \ell_1 + \frac{w_2 \ell_2}{1+r} = c_1 + \frac{c_2}{1+r}$$

$$g = \ln c_1 + b \frac{(1-\ell_1)^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} + \bar{e}^\rho \left[\ln c_2 + b \frac{(1-\ell_2)^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma} \right]$$

$$+ \lambda \left\{ w_1 \ell_1 + \frac{w_2 \ell_2}{1+r} - c_1 - \frac{c_2}{1+r} \right\}.$$

FONC:

$$c_1: \quad \frac{1}{c_1} = \lambda \quad (1)$$

$$\ell_1: \quad -b(1-\ell_1)^{-\gamma} + \lambda w_1 = 0 \quad (2)$$

$$\ell_2: \quad \frac{\bar{e}^\rho}{c_2} = \frac{\lambda}{1+r} \quad (3)$$

$$\ell_2: \quad -\bar{e}^\rho b(1-\ell_2)^{-\gamma} + \lambda \frac{w_2}{1+r} = 0 \quad (4)$$

$$\lambda: \quad w_1 \ell_1 + \frac{w_2 \ell_2}{1+r} = c_1 + \frac{c_2}{1+r} \quad (5)$$

Form (2), (4):

$$b(1-\ell_1)^{-\gamma} = \frac{w_1}{w_2} \bar{e}^\rho (1+r) b(1-\ell_2)^{-\gamma}$$

$$\Rightarrow \left(\frac{1-\ell_1}{1-\ell_2} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} = \frac{w_2}{w_1} \cdot \frac{1}{1+r} \cdot \frac{1}{\bar{e}^\rho}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1-\ell_1}{1-\ell_2} = \left(\frac{w_2}{w_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \left(\frac{1}{1+r} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\bar{e}^\rho} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}$$

- how does the relative demand for leisure depend on the relative wage?

$$\frac{d \left(\frac{1-l_1}{1-l_2} \right)}{d \left(\frac{w_2}{w_1} \right)} = \frac{1}{\delta} \left(\frac{w_2}{w_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}-1} \left(\frac{1}{1+r} \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}} \left(\frac{1}{e^\phi} \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}} > 0.$$

so arise in w_1 relative to w_2 . (i.e. a ~~rise~~ in $\frac{w_2}{w_1}$)

means a ~~decrease~~ in $\frac{1-l_1}{1-l_2}$ or a rise in l_1
relative to l_2 .

Intuition: if you expect to make more today
relative to tomorrow you work a bit
harder today. to take advantage of
the good opportunity.

- how does the relative demand for leisure depend on the interest rate?

$$\frac{d \left(\frac{1-l_1}{1-l_2} \right)}{d r} = \left(\frac{w_2}{w_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}} \left(\frac{1}{e^\phi} \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}} \cdot \frac{1}{\delta} \left(\frac{1}{1+r} \right)^{\frac{1}{\delta}-1} \left(-\frac{1}{(1+r)^2} \right) < 0$$

so a rise in the interest rate implies a decrease in
 $\frac{1-l_1}{1-l_2}$ and thus a rise in l_1 relative to l_2 .

Intuition: as r rises it becomes more attractive
for you to save b/c next period you
will have a higher payoff.
thus you will work harder today to
make some extra cash to take
advantage of the better savings opportunities.

low $\gamma \Rightarrow$ high $\frac{1}{\gamma}$ and thus higher

effect of $\frac{w_2}{w_1}$ or r on the relative demand for leisure.

low γ means that utility is not very sharply curved in $l \Rightarrow$ people are more willing to tolerate movement in l in response to a change in wages or the interest rate.

$$\epsilon_w = \frac{\partial \left(\frac{1-l_1}{1-l_2} \right)}{\partial \left(\frac{w_2}{w_1} \right)} \rightarrow \cancel{\frac{\partial}{\partial l}} \frac{w_2/w_1}{(1-l_1)/(1-l_2)} =$$

$$= \frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\frac{w_2}{w_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}-1} \left(\frac{1}{1+r} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \left(\frac{1}{e^r} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \frac{w_2}{w_1} \frac{1-l_2}{1-l_1}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\frac{w_2}{w_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \left(\frac{1}{1+r} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \left(\frac{1}{e^r} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \frac{1-l_2}{1-l_1} = \frac{1}{\gamma}.$$

$$\epsilon_r = \frac{\partial \left(\frac{1-l_1}{1-l_2} \right)}{\partial \left(\frac{1}{1+r} \right)} \cdot \frac{1+r}{(1-l_1)/(1-l_2)} =$$

$$= \left(\frac{w_2}{w_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \left(\frac{1}{e^r} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\frac{1}{1+r} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}-1} \left(-\frac{1}{(1+r)^2} \right) (1+r) \frac{(1-l_2)}{1-l_1}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{\gamma} \left(\frac{w_2}{w_1} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \left(\frac{1}{e^r} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \left(\frac{1}{1+r} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \frac{(1-l_2)}{(1-l_1)} = -\frac{1}{\gamma}.$$

(4.5)

$$\max \left\{ \ln c_1 + b \ln(1-l_1) + \bar{e}^p \left[\ln c_2 + b \ln(1-l_2) \right] \right\}.$$

s.t.

$$w_1 l_1 + w_2 l_2 = c_1 + \frac{c_2}{1+r}$$

$$f = \ln c_1 + b \ln(1-l_1) + \bar{e}^p \left[\ln c_2 + b \ln(1-l_2) \right]$$

$$+ \lambda \left[w_1 l_1 + \frac{w_2 l_2}{1+r} - c_1 - \frac{c_2}{1+r} \right]$$

FONC:

$$c_1: \quad \frac{1}{c_1} = \lambda \quad (1)$$

$$l_1: \quad \frac{-b}{1-l_1} + \lambda w_1 = 0. \quad (2)$$

$$c_2: \quad \frac{\bar{e}^p}{c_2} = \frac{\lambda}{1+r} \quad (3)$$

$$l_2: \quad \frac{-\bar{e}^p b}{1-l_2} = \lambda \frac{w_2}{1+r}. \quad (4)$$

$$\lambda: \quad w_1 l_1 + \frac{w_2 l_2}{1+r} = c_1 + \frac{c_2}{1+r}. \quad (5)$$

$$\text{From (1): } c_1 = \frac{1}{\lambda} \quad (6)$$

$$\text{From (2): } l_1 = 1 - \frac{b}{\lambda w_1} \quad (7)$$

$$\text{From (3): } c_2 = \frac{\bar{e}^p (1+r)}{\lambda} \quad (8)$$

$$\text{From (4): } l_2 = 1 - \frac{\bar{e}^p b (1+r)}{\lambda w_2} \quad (9)$$

sub. (6) - (9) into (5) :

$$\omega_1 \left(1 - \frac{b}{\lambda \omega_1}\right) + \frac{\omega_2}{1+b} \left(1 - \frac{\bar{e}^p b (1+b)}{\lambda \omega_2}\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{\bar{e}^p (1+b)}{\lambda}$$

$$\Rightarrow \omega_1 - \frac{b}{\lambda} + \frac{\omega_2}{1+b} - \frac{\bar{e}^p b}{\lambda} = \frac{1}{\lambda} + \frac{\bar{e}^p b}{\lambda}$$

$$\Rightarrow \omega_1 + \frac{\omega_2}{1+b} = \frac{1+b}{\lambda} + \frac{\bar{e}^p (1+b)}{\lambda}$$

$$\Rightarrow \omega_1 + \frac{\omega_2}{1+b} = \frac{1+b (1+\bar{e}^p)}{\lambda}$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda = \frac{(1+b)(1+\bar{e}^p)}{\omega_1 + \frac{\omega_2}{1+b}}$$

(10)

To solve for ℓ_1, ℓ_2 sub. (10) into (7) and (9).

$$\ell_1 = 1 - \frac{b}{\omega_1 \left(\frac{(1+b)(1+\bar{e}^p)}{\omega_1 + \frac{\omega_2}{1+b}} \right)}$$

$$\ell_2 = 1 - \frac{b}{(1+b)} \frac{\left(1 + \frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1} \cdot \frac{1}{1+b}\right)}{(1+\bar{e}^p)}$$

$$l_2 = \frac{1 - b\bar{e}^p(1+r)}{1 + w_2} = \frac{1 - \frac{b}{1+b} \frac{\bar{e}^p(1+r)}{1+\bar{e}^p} \left(\frac{w_1}{w_2} + \frac{1}{1+r}\right)}{1 + w_2}$$

From the solutions to l_1 and l_2 we see that.
they depend only on the relative wage

thus a change in w_1 that is offset by a change in w_2 will leave the rel. wage unaffected and thus the labor demands unaffected.

(b) (i) 4.23 continues to hold b/c
 z does not affect FOCs.

(ii) does the result above continue to hold?

No

inter. b.c. now:

$$w_1 l_1 + \frac{w_2 l_2}{1+r} + z = c_1 + \frac{c_2}{1+r}$$

follow same steps to get:

$$\lambda = \frac{(1+\bar{e}^p)(1+b)}{z + w_1 + \frac{w_2}{1+r}}$$

Follow same steps to get:

$$l_1 = 1 - \frac{b \left(\frac{z}{\omega_1} + 1 + \frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1} \frac{1}{1+r} \right)}{(1+\bar{e}^P)(1+b)}$$

$$l_2 = 1 - \frac{b(1+r)\bar{e}^P \left[\frac{z}{\omega_2} + \frac{\omega_1}{\omega_2} + \frac{1}{1+r} \right]}{(1+\bar{e}^P)(1+b)}$$

so changes in ω_1 or ω_2 even if they leave ~~the~~ $\frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1}$ unchanged will affect.

l_1, l_2

in particular: $\uparrow \omega_2$ by $d\omega_2 = d\omega_1$

will lead to a rise in l_1, l_2 .

(46)

2 period model with preferences: $\ln c_1 + \ln c_2$.

Let. $r = E(r) + \epsilon$
 \downarrow mean \rightarrow mean zero
 random disturbance.

(a)

(i) y_1 = First period labor income.

$$y_2 = 0.$$

$$\text{b.c. } c_1 = y_1 - s$$

$$c_2 = (1+r)s = (1+r)(y_1 - c_1)$$

$$\Rightarrow c_1 + \frac{c_2}{1+r} = y_1$$

~~HT solns~~

$$\max \ln c_1 + E \ln c_2$$

$$\text{s.t. } c_2 = (1+r)(y_1 - c_1)$$

$$\text{or } \max \ln c_1 + E \ln(1+r)(y_1 - c_1)$$

$$\Rightarrow \max \ln c_1 + \ln(1+r)(y_1 - c_1) + E \ln(1+r).$$

$$c_1 : \frac{1}{c_1} + \frac{(1+r)}{y_1 - c_1} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow (y_1 - c_1) \cdot \frac{1}{c_1} = 1 \Rightarrow c_1 = \frac{y_1}{2}$$

c_1 is indep. of r .

(ii)

c_1 indep. of whether $r = E(r)$ or $r = E(r) + \epsilon$.

uncertainty doesn't affect the choice of c_1

$$(b) \quad Y_1 = 0, \quad Y_2 > 0$$

b.c.

$$\begin{aligned} C_1 &= B \\ C_2 &= Y_2 - c(1+r)B \end{aligned} \quad \left\{ \quad C_2 = Y_2 - c(1+r)C_1. \right.$$

HIT problem

$$\max \ln C_1 + E \ln C_2$$

$$\text{s.t. } C_2 = Y_2 - c(1+r)C_1$$

$$\text{or } \max \ln C_1 + E \ln [Y_2 - c(1+r)C_1]$$

FONC:

$$4: \quad \frac{1}{C_1} - E \left(\frac{1+r}{Y_2 - c(1+r)C_1} \right) = 0.$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{C_1} = E((1+r) \cdot \frac{1}{C_2}).$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{C_1} = E(1+r) \cdot E(\frac{1}{C_2}) + \text{cov}(1+r, \frac{1}{C_2}).$$

Certainty: $E(1+r) = 1+r.$

$$\text{then } E\left(\frac{1}{Y_2 - c_1(1+r)}\right) = \frac{1}{Y_2 - c_1(1+r)}$$

$$\text{cov}(1+r, \frac{1}{C_2}) = 0.$$

$$\text{So } \frac{1}{C_1} = \frac{1+r}{Y_2 - c_1(1+r)} \Rightarrow C_1 = \frac{Y_2}{(1+r)^2}. \quad \downarrow \text{ans}$$

uncertainty

$$\text{cov}(1+r, \frac{1}{c_2}) > 0.$$

since higher $\varepsilon \Rightarrow$ higher $1+r$ and higher $\frac{1}{y_2 - c_1(1+r)c_2}$

Jensen's inequality: $E\left(\frac{1}{c_2}\right) > \frac{1}{E(c_2)}$.

since $\frac{1}{c_2}$ is a convex function of c_2 .

$$\frac{1}{c_1} = E(1+r) E\left(\frac{1}{c_2}\right) + \text{cov}(1+r, \frac{1}{c_2}) > E(1+r) E\left(\frac{1}{c_2}\right) > \frac{E(1+r)}{E(c_2)}$$

$$= \frac{1+E(r)}{y_2 - c_1(1+E(r))}$$

$$\Rightarrow y_2 - c_1(1+\varepsilon r) > c_1(1+\varepsilon r)$$

$$\Rightarrow c_1 < \frac{y_2}{2(1+\varepsilon r)}$$

uncertainty reduces the amount
of first period cons. optimally chosen.

(4.8)

- constant pop. of infinitely lived individuals.
- pref. $\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{u(c_t)}{(1+p)^t}$, $u(c_t) = c_t - \delta c_t^2$
- $y_t = Ak_t + e_t$ - linear PF.
- $k_{t+1} = k_t + y_t - e_t$. Note: Here we
- $r = A$. From linear PF. solve the Planner's problem. This is equivalent to solving the decentral problem b/c FWT holds.
- Assume $A=p$.
- Assume disturbance follows AR(1):
 $e_t = \phi e_{t-1} + \epsilon_t$, $\phi \in (-1, 1)$.
 ϵ_t iid with mean zero.

(a) Find FOC relating c_t to $E_t c_{t+1}$.

HHT Problem:

$$\max E \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1+p)^t} (c_t - \delta c_t^2).$$

s.t.

$$k_{t+1} + c_t = (1+A)k_t + e_t.$$

Lagrangian:

$$L = E \left\{ \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1}{(1+\rho)t} (c_t - \delta c_t^2) + \lambda_t [(1+A)k_t + e_t - c_t - k_{tm}] \right] \right\}$$

FONC:

$$c_t: E_t \left\{ \frac{1}{(1+\rho)t} (1 - 2\delta c_t) - \lambda_t \right\} = 0. \quad (1)$$

$$k_{tm}: E_t \left\{ -\lambda_t + \lambda_{t+1}(1+A) \right\} = 0. \quad (2)$$

$$\lambda_t: E_t \left\{ (1+A)k_t + e_t - c_t - k_{tm} \right\} = 0. \quad (3)$$

From (1), (2), :

$$\lambda_t = \frac{1}{(1+\rho)t} (1 - 2\delta c_t).$$

$$\bullet \quad \frac{1}{(1+\rho)t} (1 - 2\delta c_t) = (1+A)E_t \left[\frac{1}{(1+\rho)t+1} (1 - 2\delta c_{t+1}) \right].$$

\Rightarrow

$$1 - 2\delta c_t = \left(\frac{1+A}{1+\rho} \right) E_t (1 - 2\delta c_{t+1})$$

Since $A=\rho$ by assumption.

\therefore .

$$\boxed{c_t = E_t (c_{t+1})}.$$

$$(b) \text{ Guern : } C_t = \alpha + \beta K_t + \gamma e_t.$$

$$\text{then } k_{t+1} = (1+\alpha) K_t - C_t + e_t.$$

$$\Rightarrow E_t k_{t+1} = (1+\alpha) K_t - \alpha - \beta K_t - \gamma e_t + e_t.$$

$$\Rightarrow k_{t+1} = (1+\alpha-\beta) K_t + (-\gamma)e_t - \alpha. \quad (*)$$

(c) what values must α, β, γ have for the FOC in (a) to be satisfied for all (K_t, e_t)

we have guessed

$$C_t = \alpha + \beta K_t + \gamma e_t.$$

then

$$C_{t+1} = \alpha + \beta K_{t+1} + \gamma e_{t+1}.$$

sub. these in FOC

$$C_t = E_t(C_{t+1})$$

$$\alpha + \beta K_t + \gamma e_t = E_t(\alpha + \beta K_{t+1} + \gamma e_{t+1})$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha + \beta K_t + \gamma e_t = \alpha + \beta K_{t+1} + \gamma E(e_{t+1})$$

but

$$e_{t+1} = \phi e_t + \varepsilon_{t+1}$$

with $E(\varepsilon_{t+1}) = 0$.

so

$$\alpha + \beta k_t + \gamma e_t = \alpha + \beta k_{t-1} + \gamma \phi e_t$$

$$\Rightarrow k_{t-1} = \frac{\beta \phi}{\beta - \gamma} k_t + \frac{\gamma(1-\phi)}{\beta} e_t.$$

$$\Rightarrow k_{t-1} = k_t + \frac{\gamma(1-\phi)}{\beta} e_t. \quad (**)$$

on (*), ** it must be that

$$A + A - \beta = 1 \Rightarrow A = \beta$$

$$\frac{\gamma(1-\phi)}{\beta} = (1-\gamma) \Rightarrow \frac{\gamma(1-\phi)}{A} = 1-\gamma$$

$$\alpha = 0.$$

$$\Rightarrow \gamma(1-\phi) = A - \gamma A.$$

$$\Rightarrow \gamma(1-\phi + A) = A.$$

$$\gamma = \frac{A}{1+A-\phi}$$

(d) effects of a one time shock to ϵ
on the paths of y, k, c ?

one time shock

$$\epsilon_t = 1$$

$$\epsilon_{t+j} = 0 \quad \text{for } j=1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Assume economy is initially on its BGP.

$$\epsilon_t = 1$$

$$\epsilon_{t+1} = \phi \epsilon_t = \phi.$$

$$\epsilon_{t+2} = \phi \epsilon_{t+1} = \phi^2$$

:

$$\epsilon_{t+j} = \phi^j$$

We have that

$$k_{t+1} = k_t + \gamma(1-\phi)$$

$$k_{t+1} = k_t + (1-\gamma)\epsilon_t.$$

on BGP:

$$k_t = k^{ss}$$

$$k_{t+1} = k_t + (1-\gamma) \cdot \epsilon_t.$$

$$= k^{ss} + (1-\gamma) \cdot 1$$

$$\begin{aligned} k_{t+2} &= k_{t+1} + (1-\gamma) k_{t+1} \epsilon_{t+1} \\ &= k^{ss} + (1-\gamma) + (1-\gamma) \phi. \\ &= k^{ss} + (1-\gamma)(1+\phi). \end{aligned}$$

$$k_{t+3} = k_{t+2} + (1-\gamma)e_{t+2}$$

$$= k^{ss} + (1-\gamma)(1+\phi) + (1-\gamma)\phi^2.$$

$$= k^{ss} + (1-\gamma)(1+\phi + \phi^2)$$

$$\vdots \\ k_{t+j} = k^{ss} + (1-\gamma)(1+\phi+\phi^2+\dots+\phi^{j-1})$$

As $j \rightarrow \infty$

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} k_{t+j} = k^{ss} + \frac{1-\gamma}{1-\phi}.$$

then for cons.

$$c_t = Ak_t + \gamma c_{t+1}$$

$$= Ak_t + \gamma$$

$$c_{t+1} = Ak_{t+1} + \gamma c_{t+2}$$

$$= Ak_{t+1} + \gamma\phi$$

$$c_{t+2} = Ak_{t+2} + \gamma c_{t+3}$$

$$= Ak_{t+2} + \gamma\phi^2$$

\vdots

$$c_{t+j} = Ak_{t+j} + \gamma\phi^j$$

$$c_\infty = Ak_\infty$$

Then for output.

$$y_t = Ak_t + e_t$$

$$= Ak_t + 1$$

$$y_{t+1} = Ak_{t+1} + \phi, \quad y_{t+2} = Ak_{t+2} + \phi^2$$

$$y_{t+j} = Ak_{t+j} + \phi^j, \quad y_\infty = Ak_\infty$$

Thus at time $t+n$ we have

$$k_{tn} - k^{ss} = (1-\delta) (1 + \phi + \phi^2 + \dots + \phi^{n-1}).$$

~~therefore~~

$$c_{tn} - c^{ss} = Ak_{tn} + \delta \phi^n - Ak^{ss}.$$

$$\therefore y_{tn} - y^* = Ak_{tn} + \phi^n - Ak^{ss}.$$