## University of Toronto Department of Economics ECO 2061H Economic Theory - Macroeconomics (MA) Winter 2012

## Professor Tasso Adamopoulos

## Answers to Practice Set 3

1. (a) The capital accumulation equation is,

$$\dot{k}(t) = Ak(t) - c(t) - \delta k(t)$$
$$\dot{k}(t) = (A - \delta) k(t) - c(t)$$

(b) Real interest rate:

$$r(t) = (1 - \tau) A - \delta$$

Growth of consumption per worker:

$$\frac{\dot{c}(t)}{c(t)} = \frac{(1-\tau)A - (\delta+\rho)}{\theta}$$

Consider the capital accumulation equation from part (a). Divide both sides by k(t), and use the fact that  $\frac{\dot{k}(t)}{k(t)}$  will be constant. Let  $\frac{\dot{k}(t)}{k(t)} \equiv b$ . Then we have,

$$b = (A - \delta) - \frac{c(t)}{k(t)}$$

This implies that  $\frac{\dot{k}(t)}{k(t)} = \frac{\dot{c}(t)}{c(t)}$ , i.e., the growth rate of capital per worker is the same as that of consumption per worker. From the production function y(t) = Ak(t), you can see that also  $\frac{\dot{y}(t)}{y(t)} = \frac{\dot{k}(t)}{k(t)}$ . The savings rate  $s = \frac{y-c}{y}$ , can be shown to be,

$$s = \frac{Ak - c}{Ak} = 1 - \frac{1}{A}\frac{c}{k} = 1 - \frac{1}{A}\left[A - \delta - \frac{(1 - \tau)A - (\delta + \rho)}{\theta}\right]$$
$$= 1 - \left[\frac{(\theta - 1 + \tau)A + \rho + \delta(1 - \theta)}{\theta A}\right]$$

(c) You can see that the interest rate, the savings rate, and the growth rate are all decreasing in the tax, by calculating the derivatives:  $\frac{\partial r}{\partial \tau} = -A < 0, \ \frac{\partial s}{\partial \tau} = -\frac{1}{\theta} < 0, \ \frac{\partial (\frac{\dot{y}}{y})}{\partial \tau} = -\frac{A}{\theta} < 0.$ 

- (d) The economy with the higher tax rate will have the lower growth rate as the result from (c) shows. Consequently the two economies will diverge eventually. Economy j with the low tax rate, will overpass economy i (with the high tax rate), even though initially it starts off with a lower income level.
- 2. (a) Substitute A(t) into the production function and then the resulting Y(t) into the the capital accumulation equation to get,

$$\dot{K}(t) = sB^{1-\alpha}K(t)^{\alpha+(1-\alpha)\phi}L(t)^{1-\alpha}$$

To get an expression for the growth rate  $\frac{\dot{K}(t)}{K(t)}$ , which I will denote  $g_K(t)$ , divide both sides of the last equation by K(t),

$$g_K(t) \equiv \frac{K(t)}{K(t)} = sB^{1-\alpha}K(t)^{(1-\alpha)(\phi-1)}L(t)^{1-\alpha}$$

Take logs and then the time derivative to get an expression for movements in  $g_K$  (i.e. to calculate the growth rate of the growth rate of K),

$$\frac{\dot{g_K}(t)}{g_K(t)} = (1 - \alpha)(\phi - 1)g_K(t) + (1 - \alpha)n$$

or (after multiplying both sides by  $g_K(t)$ ),

$$\dot{g}_{K}(t) = 1 - \alpha (\phi - 1) [g_{K}(t)]^{2} + (1 - \alpha) n g_{K}(t)$$

(b) Using the last equation, if  $\phi < 1$ , we can solve for the BGP level of  $g_K$ ,

$$g_K^* = \frac{n}{1 - \phi}$$

See Fig. 1 for the phase diagram. A one-time increase in L will have no effect on the  $g_K^*$  as you can see from the equation. Intuitively: diminishing returns to  $g_K$ imply there is a limited contribution of additional capital to the production of new capital, so a one time increase in L will raise  $g_K$  at the time of the change but in the long-run the effect will die off.

- (c) Look at Fig.2 and Fig.3 respectively. When  $\phi > 1$ ,  $\dot{g}_K$  is a convex function of  $g_K$ . When  $\phi = 1$  and n > 0 then  $\dot{g}_K$  is proportional to  $g_K$ .
- (d) If  $\phi = 1$  and n = 0, then we have that  $Y(t) = B^{1-\alpha}L^{1-\alpha}K(t) \equiv bK(t)$ , and thus  $\dot{K}(t) = sbK(t)$ . This implies that  $\frac{\dot{K}(t)}{K(t)} = sb$ . This is essentially the AK model. Here a one-time increase in L increases b and thus the economy's growth rate.

3. A competitive equilibrium is a sequence of prices  $\{w(t), r(t), P(t)\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$  and a sequence of allocations  $\{C(t), I(t), Y_c(t), Y_i(t), K_c(t), K_i(t), L_c(t)\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$  such that: (1) given sequences of prices  $\{w(t), r(t), P(t)\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$ , households maximize their lifetime utility subject to their flow budget constraint, the no-ponzi game condition and their initial level of capital k(0); (2) firms in consumption and investment sectors maximize their profits taking prices as given  $\{w(t), r(t), P(t)\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$ ; (3) all markets clear. The market clearing conditions for investment goods, consumption goods, capital and labor respectively are:

$$Y_c(t) = C(t)$$
$$Y_i(t) = I(t)$$
$$K_c(t) + K_i(t) = K(t)$$
$$L_c(t) = L$$

With  $\phi(t)$  denoting the share of capital devoted to the investment sector we can re-write,  $K_i(t) = \phi(t)K(t)$  and  $K_c(t) = [1 - \phi(t)]K(t)$ .

The flow budget constraint for the household is,

$$P(t)\dot{k}(t) + C(t) = r(t)k(t) + w(t)$$

Set up the current value Hamiltonian for the household's problem:

$$H = \frac{C(t)^{1-\theta}}{1-\theta} + \frac{\pi(t)}{P(t)} \{ r(t)k(t) + w(t) - C(t) \}$$

The conditions for optimality are:

1

$$H_c = 0 \Rightarrow C(t)^{-\theta} = \frac{\pi(t)}{P(t)}$$
$$H_k = -\dot{\pi} + \rho\pi \Rightarrow \frac{\pi(t)}{P(t)}r(t) = -\dot{\pi} + \rho\pi$$

 $\langle n \rangle$ 

and the transversality condition  $\lim_{t\to\infty} \{e^{-\rho t}\pi(t)k(t)\} = 0$ . The first two optimality conditions imply the following Euler equation,

$$\frac{\dot{C(t)}}{C(t)} = \frac{\frac{\dot{r(t)}}{P(t)} + \frac{P(t)}{P(t)} - \rho}{\theta}$$

Intuitively,  $\frac{r(t)}{P(t)} + \frac{\dot{P}(t)}{P(t)}$  is the interest rate on consumption denominated loans: if you give up one unit of consumption today you can buy 1/P(t) units of capital which will give you an instantaneous return r(t). Thus you will get  $\frac{r(t)}{P(t)}$  plus the change in the relative price of investment that could occur  $\frac{\dot{P}(t)}{P(t)}$ .

(**b**) The problem of the firm in the investment sector is:

$$max \left\{ P(t)AK_i(t) - r(t)K_i(t) \right\}$$

and the FOC is:

$$r(t) = P(t)A$$

The problem of the firm in the investment sector is:

$$max\left\{BK_c^{\alpha}(t)L_c^{1-\alpha}(t) - r(t)K_c(t) - w(t)L_c(t)\right\}$$

The FOC are:

$$r(t) = \alpha B K_c^{\alpha-1}(t) L_c^{1-\alpha}(t)$$
$$w(t) = (1-\alpha) B K_c^{\alpha}(t) L_c^{-\alpha}(t)$$

Return equalization in r(t) across sectors implies that the relative price of investment is,

$$P(t) = \alpha \frac{B}{A} K_c^{\alpha - 1}(t) L_c^{1 - \alpha}(t)$$

(c) Now define a BGP as an equilibrium path along which  $\phi(t)$  is constant at  $\phi$ . To proceed use that in equilibrium  $L_c(t) = L$ . Plug this in the relative price of investment. Take logs and differentiate with respect to time to get,

$$\frac{\dot{P}(t)}{P(t)} = (\alpha - 1)g_K$$

where  $g_K = \frac{\dot{K}(t)}{K(t)}$  is the steady-state (BGP) growth rate of capital. We also know from the FOC of the investment firm that  $\frac{r}{P} = A$ . Then the consumption based interest rate faced by the household is  $\frac{r(t)}{P(t)} + \frac{\dot{P}(t)}{P(t)} = A - (1 - \alpha)g_K$ . Then from the Euler equation the consumption growth rate is,

$$\frac{C(t)}{C(t)} = \frac{A - (1 - \alpha)g_K - \rho}{\theta}$$

Use the market clearing condition for consumption goods along with the consumption production function and that  $K_c = (1 - \phi)K$  and  $L_c = L$ . Take logs and differentiate with respect to time to get,

$$\frac{C(t)}{C(t)} = \alpha g_K$$

This along with the Euler imply that,

$$g_K^* = \frac{A - \rho}{1 - \alpha(1 - \theta)}$$

Then  $\frac{\dot{C}}{C} = \alpha g_K^*$ . Take the FOC with respect to labor in the consumption sector and take logs and differentiate with respect to time to find that,

$$\frac{\dot{w}}{w} = \alpha g_K^*$$

4. (a) The budget constraint of the representative household is,

$$C_t + I_t = W_t L_t + (1 - \tau_{kt}) R_t K_t - T_t$$

Before defining and characterizing equilibrium it is useful to re-write the model economy in terms of variables normalized in units of effective labor: for example if the aggregate variable is X the same variable per unit of effective labor is  $x \equiv \frac{X}{AL}$ . Then the household budget constraint and the law of motion for capital can be re-written,

$$c_t + i_t = w_t + R_t (1 - \tau_{kt}) k_t - t_t$$
$$(1 + n)(1 + g) k_{t+1} = i_t + (1 - \delta) k_t$$

The production function can be re-written as  $y_t = k_t^{\alpha}$ . Household lifetime utility can be expressed as,

$$\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \frac{(C_t/L_t)^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma} L_t = \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \frac{(c_t)^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma} L_0 (1+n)^t A(0)^{1-\theta} (1+g)^{(1-\theta)t} = B \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{\beta}^t \frac{(c_t)^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma}$$

where  $\widetilde{\beta} = \beta(1+g)^{1-\sigma}(1+n)$  and  $B = A_0^{1-\theta}L_0$ .

A competitive equilibrium is a sequence of policies  $\{t_t, \tau_{kt}, G\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$ , a sequence of prices  $\{w_t, R_t\}$ , and a sequence of allocations  $\{c_t, k_t, i_t, y_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$  such that: (1) given sequences of policies and prices  $\{t_t, \tau_{kt}, G, w_t, R_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$  the household solves,

$$maxB\sum_{t=0}^{\infty}\widetilde{\beta}^t \frac{(c_t)^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma}$$

s.t.

$$c_t + i_t = w_t + R_t (1 - \tau_{kt}) k_t - t_t$$
$$(1 + n)(1 + g) k_{t+1} = i_t + (1 - \delta) k_t$$

and given  $k_0 > 0$ . (2) Firms maximize profits given sequences of prices and policies  $\{t_t, \tau_{kt}, G, w_t, R_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$ , i.e., factor prices are competitive,

$$w_t = (1 - \alpha)k_t^{\alpha}$$

$$R_t = \alpha k_t^{\alpha - 1}$$

(3) all markets clear - in particular the goods market,  $c_t + i_t + G = y_t$ . (4) The government satisfies its budget constraint in each period,

$$G = \tau_{kt} R_t k_t + t_t$$

(b) The first order conditions to the firm's problem are those in (a) under statement(2) in the definition of equilibrium. To solve the household's problem set up the Lagrangian:

$$L = B \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{\beta}^t \frac{(c_t)^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma} + \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \lambda_t \left\{ w_t + R_t (1-\tau_{kt}) k_t - t_t + (1-\delta) k_t - c_t - (1+n)(1+g) k_{t+1} \right\}$$

Take FOCs with respect to  $\{c_t, k_{t+1}, \lambda_t\}$  and combine them to get the Euler equation,

$$c_t^{-\sigma}(1+n)(1+g) = \widetilde{\beta}c_{t+1}^{-\theta} \left[ (1-\tau_{kt+1})R_{t+1} + (1-\delta) \right]$$

(c) A steady-state equilibrium is a competitive equilibrium in which variables in units of effective workers (c, k, y, i) are constant, the rental rate of capital R is constant, and the real wage rate W grows at a constant rate g. In steady-state the Euler implies,

$$(1+n)(1+g) = \tilde{\beta} [(1-\tau_k)R + (1-\delta)]$$

Using the firm FOC for capital this implies that the steady-state capital stock is,

$$k^* = \left[\frac{\alpha \widetilde{\beta}(1-\tau_k)}{(1+g)(1+n) - \widetilde{\beta}(1-\delta)}\right]^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}$$

Steady-state investment is  $i^* = [(1+n)(1+g) - (1-\delta)]k^*$ . Output per effective worker in steady state is

$$y^* = \{k^*\}^{\alpha} = \left[\frac{\alpha\widetilde{\beta}(1-\tau_k)}{(1+g)(1+n) - \widetilde{\beta}(1-\delta)}\right]^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}}$$

The investment output ratio is then,  $\frac{i^*}{y^*} = \left[(1+n)(1+g) - (1-\delta)\right] \left\{k^*\right\}^{1-\alpha}$ 

(d) The proportional tax on capital income is distortionary and reduces output. If you have two economies with different tax rates the implied income ratio will be,

$$\frac{y^i}{y^j} = \left[\frac{1-\tau_k^i}{1-\tau_k^j}\right]^{\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}}$$

Since  $\tau_k^i = 0$  this economy will have higher income in the long-run. The reason is that a higher tax rate on capital income deters capital accumulation and thus reduces capital in the long-run.





